

## Re: Fwd: Stable or Just Old?

**Source:** <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Debian/2005-03/4200.html>

---

**From:** Michael Katz ([katznik\\_at\\_gmail.com](mailto:katznik_at_gmail.com))

**Date:** 03/31/05

Date: Thu, 31 Mar 2005 05:58:18 -0500

To: Paul Johnson <[baloo@ursine.ca](mailto:baloo@ursine.ca)>

It is easy to find the answer, it is well known, but the answer that debian gives is not really acceptable given all of the emperical data about the security of updated glibc implementations . The stubborn position of Debian is really undefensible, even with an faq. If i don't make my opinion known then they will continue to be subborn, at least now they can be stubborn and know that there decision has impact on real people trying to support their software.

On Wed, 30 Mar 2005 15:00:49 -0800, Paul Johnson <[baloo@ursine.ca](mailto:baloo@ursine.ca)> wrote:

> *On Wednesday 30 March 2005 03:40 am, Michael Katz wrote:*

> > *Why does debian stable use glibc 2.2.5 which is over 3 years old?*

>

> *Why couldn't you have searched the debian-devel archives and found*

> *your answer?*

>

> --

> *Paul Johnson*

> *Email and Instant Messenger (Jabber): [baloo@ursine.ca](mailto:baloo@ursine.ca)*

> *<http://ursine.ca/~baloo/>*

>

>

>

--

To UNSUBSCRIBE, email to [debian-user-REQUEST@lists.debian.org](mailto:debian-user-REQUEST@lists.debian.org) with a subject of "unsubscribe". Trouble? Contact [listmaster@lists.debian.org](mailto:listmaster@lists.debian.org)