

# Re: Repost–No Response–Fwd: Another APT Issue–Where Are The Linux–Images–SOLVED

---

*Source:* <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Debian/2006-06/msg01625.html>

---

- *From:* [hendrik@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:hendrik@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Sat, 17 Jun 2006 18:00:19 –0400
- 

On Sat, Jun 17, 2006 at 02:49:50PM –0700, Leonard Chatagnier wrote:

--- Wackojacko <wackojacko32@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Leonard Chatagnier wrote:

This should be an easy one but still no replys.

Please

someone help me out on this.

--- Leonard Chatagnier <lenc5570@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote:

Date: Wed, 14 Jun 2006 13:10:05 –0700  
(PDT)

From: Leonard Chatagnier

<lenc5570@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Subject: Another APT Issue–Where Are The  
Linux–Images

To: debian–user

<debian–user@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

I now have only 2.6.8 and 2.6.16 kernels in  
my

cache

file, while a short time ago I had from

2.4.18–bf2.4

to 2.6.16 and everything in between.  
Aptitude  
removed  
a working installed 2.6.15 linux–image  
before I  
could  
blink and I would like to reinstall it but its

not

there. I did do an autoclean but didn't think

that

would remove all the linux–images and  
leave just

the

2.6.8 and 2.6.16 in the cache. Would  
appreciate  
anyone  
telling me how to get all the  
kernel/linux–images  
back  
int my cache files so I can pick the one I  
want  
instaled. Plz copy my email–not subscribed.

Leonard Chatagnier  
lenc5570@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Leonard Chatagnier  
lenc5570@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

You dont say what version of debian you are running  
but I assume its sid  
as this is the only one that has 2.6.16 at the  
moment. It would appear  
you have installed some sort of meta package that  
will always depend on  
the latest kernel so it has correctly upgraded you  
to 2.6.16.

I am using unstable but have not installed any meta  
packages involving kernel or linux–images. dpkg –l |

Re: Repost–No Response–Fwd: Another APT Issue–Where Are The Linux–Images–SOLVED

grep meta only shows metas for xorg, kde's, exim4, some libs and some wms. I have installed myself the 2.6.8–2–686, 2.6.15–1–686 and 2.6.16–1.686 images; aptitude auto–rmed the 2.6.15 kernel and left the other 2 in place.

The aptitude autoclean will have removed the old debs because they are no longer available in the unstable repository, which I assume is all you have in your sources.list.

Currently, yes. But, I did add etch back in and updated but no kernels except 2.6.8 and 2.6.16 and those metas you refered to. I'll add sarge and etch back in sources.list and retry just to be sure.

Try adding the testing repositories into your sources list (copy your existing lines for unstable/sid and change to testing/etch) then do

```
#aptitude update
#aptitude search linux–image–2.6.15
#aptitude install
linux–image–2.6.15–whatever–version–you–want
```

Roger

You may also consider removing the generic meta package that caused this kernel upgrade in the first place. It will probably be called something like \*linux–image–2.6–your–arch\*.

I looked real hard with wajig search, list, show and could not find any "linux–image–2.6–i???" packages installed. I know I didn't install one intentionally. Well, surprised me this time after adding etch back in again one more time and updating I found the 2.6.15 images with wajig search kernel image but not with linux–image. did the 2.6.12 images go to stable as I don't see it in the listing. AAMF, I only see 2.4.27, 2.6.8, 2.6.15 and 2.6.16 images and I think there are many more than those listed. Anyway, issue solved for

Re: Repost–No Response–Fwd: Another APT Issue–Where Are The Linux–Images–SOLVED

now. Thanks Wackojacko for your help.

Looks like you still want a mechanism for keeping specific versions of specific packages in cache.

What I do is copy the .debs elsewhere, such as /usr/local/debs/ That way they stay around, at the cost of some duplicaiton.

Hmmm. Maybe a hard–link from /usr/local/debs/ to the .deb in tha cache? That way when the cache file is deleted, the hard–link will still keep that file intact. But then you might want to use something likd /var/local/debs/ so that keep–directory resides on the same file–system as the target of the hard–links. Soft–links (which cross file–systems) won't work for this.

— hendrik

—

To UNSUBSCRIBE, email to [debian–user–REQUEST@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:debian–user–REQUEST@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx) with a subject of "unsubscribe". Trouble? Contact [listmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:listmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)