

Re: [ML ISSUE] reply-to field ?

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Debian/2007-03/msg05329.html>

- *From:* Ron Johnson <ron.l.johnson@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 30 Mar 2007 16:41:44 -0500
-

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Hash: SHA1

On 03/30/07 16:33, Seth Goodman wrote:

Matus UHLAR – fantomas wrote on Friday, March 30, 2007 3:31 PM -0500:

The whole fact that "majority" of other mailing lists and their users does not know about this does not mean it's useless.

You mean it could be useful if most others went along, which they haven't. There are a lot of things about normal SMTP practice that violate recent RFC's and I personally don't like. For something that doesn't affect mail transport, but is a matter of how MUA's interpret trace headers, most people feel they have bigger fish to fry. To fix this problem, you need to convince not only the makers of numerous MTA's to change, but the maintainers of mailing list packages and a large number of mailing list administrators.

That's a large enough hurdle that I think it safe to say the horse has left the barn on this one a long time ago. Continuing to insist that things should have been different, long past the point where that is feasible, only makes us look foolish. In that, we have been successful.

By very similar reasoning, we should all dump Linux and go with the OS that has 95% usage.

Ron Johnson, Jr.
Jefferson LA USA

Re: [ML ISSUE] reply-to field ?

Give a man a fish, and he eats for a day.
Hit him with a fish, and he goes away for good!

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: GnuPG v1.4.6 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFGDYQYS9HxQb37XmcRAp3oAKCVLT+m8wBiu7xp9NXDFh/j1R6mMACfTmbr
zcgJnzUnhNW7IPKImbrYApI=
=Z1jV

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

--

To UNSUBSCRIBE, email to debian-user-REQUEST@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
with a subject of "unsubscribe". Trouble? Contact listmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Re: [ML ISSUE] reply-to field ?