

## Re: new FC1 install problems

**Source:** <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Fedora/2004-05/0387.html>

---

**From:** Gene Heskett ([gene.heskett\\_at\\_verizon.net](mailto:gene.heskett_at_verizon.net))

**Date:** 05/03/04

To: For users of Fedora Core releases <[fedora-list@redhat.com](mailto:fedora-list@redhat.com)>

Date: Mon, 3 May 2004 10:32:22 -0400

On Monday 03 May 2004 08:20, Alexander Dalloz wrote:

>Am Mo, den 03.05.2004 schrieb Gene Heskett um 03:31:

>> Greetings;

>>

>> My main box lost its ability to run anything gtk or gnome related,

>> so I put in FC1 disk1 and did an upgrade from my well modified

>> RH8.0 install. Running gcc3.2, kde3.2, qt3.2 and the best kernel

>> so far, 2.6.5-mm6.

>

>[ snipped the story ]

>

>> Where do I go from here folks?

>>

>> No Cheers for FC1 at this point, Gene

>

>Gene,

>

>your are either very, very optimistic or blue eyed.

Both :) And an old fart (69) to boot. I started on much much simpler machines back in the 70's, writing a commercialy used app for the RCA 1802 cpu with nothing but the programmer manual and the machines hex monitor. Unforch, thats ill-equipt me to deal with os's whose src code is in the general area of 200 megabytes. :(

>At least you

>overestimate what an automatic upgrade process can handle. And that

> is no limitation of Fedora nor of RPM in specific.

>

>You did not say, but I guess all the never software versions on your

> RH8 were installed from source and where no RPM packages. So how

> should the package manager handle that situation? And even it were

> RPM installed packages, it had to handle dependencies and

> downgrading to versions FC1 does deliver. And on top there is the

> situation that FC1 is not kernel 2.6 ready. Of course not to forget

> that even your system was broken before you started upgrading (you

> mentioned that in your first sentence).

## Fedora: Re: new FC1 install problems

>

>*Conclusion: all that was to be expected. In cases of such heavily  
>customized installations – your RH8 did not look any more like RH8 –  
>there is only the way of a fresh new install.*

>

>*Alexander*

Well, I have now managed to get at least as much working as was working before. Including the now very old version of mozilla-1.4. I did have 1.6, so I put that back in after a while.

I got yum from the update site with it and let yum trundle thru it last night, updating 296 packages, but I haven't rebooted yet. And my gtk stuff is still very iffy, see another post showing the gftp-2.0.17 output and segfault. This may be something related to the original having been installed from a tarball without using checkinstall -R.

So things are looking up at a slight angle :)

--

Cheers, Gene

"There are four boxes to be used in defense of liberty:  
soap, ballot, jury, and ammo. Please use in that order."

-Ed Howdershelt (Author)

99.22% setiathome rank, not too shabby for a WV hillbilly  
Yahoo.com attorneys please note, additions to this message  
by Gene Heskett are:

Copyright 2004 by Maurice Eugene Heskett, all rights reserved.

--

fedora-list mailing list

fedora-list@redhat.com

To unsubscribe: <http://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/fedora-list>