

## Re: Enigma: yum check-update doesn't show all rpms in a repository

**Source:** <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Fedora/2005-01/5319.html>

---

**From:** Dennis Shaw ([dennis\\_at\\_dragondeveloper.com](mailto:dennis_at_dragondeveloper.com))

**Date:** 01/21/05

Date: Thu, 20 Jan 2005 22:40:29 -0500

To: [pmconway@softhome.net](mailto:pmconway@softhome.net), For users of Fedora Core releases <[fedora-list@redhat.com](mailto:fedora-list@redhat.com)>

pmconway wrote:

>Thanks a lot for your replies, Dennis. Yum list | grep alsa returned this:

>\_\_\_\_\_

> alsa-lib.i386 1.0.6-5 installed

> alsa-utils.i386 1.0.6-3 installed

> alsa-lib-debuginfo.i386 1.0.6-5 updates-released

> alsa-lib-devel.i386

>\_\_\_\_\_

>

>Is alsa-lib-1.0.6-7.FC3.i386.rpm not considered an update to alsa-lib.i386 1.0.6-5?

>

>Maybe a simpler case: yum says

>\_\_\_\_\_

> gpdf.i386 2.8.2-1.2 installed

>\_\_\_\_\_

>

>but when I do a yum check-update using the same repo above, yum doesn't return an available gpdf rpm – though Firefox, pointed to <http://limestone.uoregon.edu/ftp/fedora/updates/3/i386/>, shows that gpdf-2.8.2-2.2.i386.rpm is available.

>

>I'm really confused. Thanks a lot for helping.

>

>Trish

>

>

>

>

No, it's not recognizing them as updates because the filenames aren't following the same naming convention. In the simpler example, if the newer file was named gpdf.i386-2.8.2-2.2.rpm, it would recognize it. Notice the placement of the i386.

Dennis

--

Fedora: Re: Enigma: yum check-update doesn't show all rpms in a repository

fedora-list mailing list

fedora-list@redhat.com

To unsubscribe: <http://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/fedora-list>