

Re: kde in extras – the devel discussion

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Fedora/2006-06/msg00758.html>

- *From:* Norm <norm@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 06 Jun 2006 19:21:44 -0700
-

Andy Green wrote:

Rex Dieter wrote:

See above. I've read to many false promises from Fedora leadership to give any trust in any such promise before I have it in my hands.

I think I see a trend here... you may be placing too much emphasis on Fedora leadership (and "promises"), when in fact, much (most!) of the work/support here needs to happen in the *community*.

I generally agree with this, but it is a little bit more complex when the reins are held by, and the agenda controlled by, a benign (generous even) but motivated-in-specific ways Redhat. There's no evil there but it makes for a slightly curious psychological tension in the project.

–Andy

Personally I prefer Gnome, but then that is my choice. One thing I like about Linux when compared to that other operating system is one does have choices.

My feeling is the core of Fedora at 5 cd's is getting a little out of hand; if I may make a suggestion in this area why not reduce the core to a true minimum needed to initiate an installation and create bundles of extras on various particular themes such as the KDE extras bundle. This way after I have downloaded the mandatory core I could select the various extra bundles that contain other ares I am interested in. As things are now I am just installing desktops, I don't need the server options. If I had the option to not download server applications I would save on band width when downloading the iso's, if at a later date I find I need to install servers I could always download the "server extras" or which ever ones I have chosen to not install at this time.

Norm

—

fedora-list mailing list

fedora-list@xxxxxxxxxx

To unsubscribe: <https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/fedora-list>