

Re: Slightly OT: bad rap for Fedora, and realistic effects

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Fedora/2007-02/msg03899.html>

- *From:* "Dotan Cohen" <dotancohen@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 26 Feb 2007 10:43:29 +0200
-

On 25/02/07, Mikkel L. Ellertson <mikkel@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Ric Moore wrote:

>
> It was a bit of a clunker, but it worked just fine. All the CP/M
> machines I had had 64k of memory, and I had darn near one of each. Ric
>
I had an 8085 based one that could only use 60k because of where 2K
of ROM was mapped in. It was not designed to let you map out the ROM
after booting. I still have a Z-80 system with 64k of dynamic RAM,
and 256K of static RAM – the static RAM has battery backup. The
system uses extended addressing, and the disk controller uses the
static RAM as a RAM disk. (DMA support as well.) You would be
surprised st how much faster the system boots/runs when you use the
RAM disk as the system drive. It also help to have the WS overlay
files on it.

Mikkel

Why can't Fedora do this? I've got 2Gb of physical RAM on this box. I
know that slax can be booted into a little as 256MB of RAM to free up
the optical drive, so I'm sure that Fedora can fit in my 2GB.

Dotan Cohen

http://technology-sleuth.com/short_answer/what_is_hdtv.html
http://what-is-what.com/what_is/webpage.html

--

fedora-list mailing list

fedora-list@xxxxxxxxxx

To unsubscribe: <https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/fedora-list>