

Re: The case against LVM

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Fedora/2007-07/msg05180.html>

- *From:* "Michael H. Semcheski" <mhsemcheski@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 31 Jul 2007 11:03:26 -0400
-

On 7/31/07, Timothy Murphy <tim@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

However, I've decided that it causes unnecessary complications, as some applications do not seem to accept the LVM devices, while I don't find any real advantages to compensate.

I think it definitely can make rescues and recovery more difficult. But, not impossible.

I thought it would simplify changing the sizes of partitions, but as it happens I never need to do this with today's enormous disks.

I think enormous disks are relative. For my desktop, I think I have an enormous disk. For our image-processing servers, we don't have enormous disks.

I think for many desktops, there isn't much of a need for multiple partitions. But, if there's a marginal improvement, and you can create abstractions that nicely bypass the downsides, its a good thing.

—
fedora-list mailing list

fedora-list@xxxxxxxx

To unsubscribe: <https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/fedora-list>