

Re: The case against LVM

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Fedora/2007-07/msg05224.html>

- *From:* Charles Curley <charlescurley@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 31 Jul 2007 12:16:33 -0600
-

On Tue, Jul 31, 2007 at 11:03:26AM -0400, Michael H. Semcheski wrote:

On 7/31/07, Timothy Murphy <tim@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

However, I've decided that it causes unnecessary complications, as some applications do not seem to accept the LVM devices, while I don't find any real advantages to compensate.

I think it definitely can make rescues and recovery more difficult. But, not impossible.

Agreed. You need a rescue/recovery disk that supports LVM, like finnix. I had lots of fun adding LVM support to my script. LVM is not documented at the level I needed, so it was a lot of reading the code, reverse engineering, and "by guess and by golly!".

<http://www.charlescurley.com/Linux-Complete-Backup-and-Recovery-HOWTO.html>

--

Charles Curley /"\ ASCII Ribbon Campaign
Looking for fine software \ / Respect for open standards
and/or writing? X No HTML/RTF in email
<http://www.charlescurley.com> / \ No M\$ Word docs in email

Key fingerprint = CE5C 6645 A45A 64E4 94C0 809C FFF6 4C48 4ECD DFDB

Attachment: [pgpAYwVZEaXJZ.pgp](#)
Description: PGP signature

--

fedora-list mailing list
fedora-list@xxxxxxxx
To unsubscribe: <https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/fedora-list>