

Re: Internet traffic and Azureus –

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Fedora/2007-09/msg03174.html>

- *From:* Tim <ignored_mailbox@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 25 Sep 2007 14:31:59 +0930
-

On Tue, 2007-09-25 at 07:09 +1000, Res wrote:

True unlimited or not, no ISP is in business to lose money, if all those other users who cost them only 20, start costing them 70, that becomes a break-even or no-profit, add users like you and it's a clear loss, you will find one of two things happening, prices skyrocket or your hit with data caps to stop the abuse so they can make a profit.

True enough, but I tend to think that those who've placed a dollar value on the byte have inflated its true value by a rather large magnitude.

I don't think unlimited is really needed, but if they started charging a truly appropriate amount, we'd be better off. If they had their way, it'd be a dollar a byte.

It is typical for ISPs not to install the amount of infrastructure really required for their clients. That started with dial-up, with not enough phone lines for the number of their customers that wanted to be on-line simultaneously. That problem's largely overcome, these days, particularly with always-on DSL and cable, they've had to bite the bullet. But it's still common enough for them to not have enough bandwidth for all their clients.

--
[tim@bigblack ~]\$ uname -ipr
2.6.22.5-76.fc7 i686 i386

Using FC 4, 5, 6 & 7, plus CentOS 5. Today, it's FC7.

Don't send private replies to my address, the mailbox is ignored.
I read messages from the public lists.

--
fedora-list mailing list
fedora-list@xxxxxxxxxxxxx
To unsubscribe: <https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/fedora-list>