

Re: [Suspected Spam] Re: I miss smbfs: cifs doesn't work as expected

Re: [Suspected Spam] Re: I miss smbfs: cifs doesn't work as expected

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Fedora/2007-11/msg05295.html>

- *From:* Nico Sabbi <Nicola.Sabbi@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 30 Nov 2007 17:06:11 +0100
-

Il Friday 30 November 2007 16:33:46 Tom Horsley ha scritto:

In FC8, instead, using cifs I can't obtain the same result: mounting always fails. The problem seems to be related to mount.cifs:

I hadn't tried cifs in Fedora 8 till I read this, so I gave the script I was using in fedora 7 a try, and it wouldn't work anymore, but I didn't get permission problems, I got an error telling me the UNC name (which DID start with //) didn't start with // and needed to start with either // or \\. To see if it would make it happy, I tried changing all the forward slashes in my windows path names to pairs of backslashes (to get them through shell processing), and then the mounts worked again.

I'll attach the silly script I run (as root) to do the mounting.

Thanks to the users that replied.

The problem is totally unrelated to DSN lookups.

To make it work I had to suid root /sbin/mount.cifs and add
-o user=workgroup/username%password,uid=500,gid=500

It's a disappointment and a big security concern :(but at least it works (although I'm a bit surprised that on a system where you can't eradicate selinux you have to resort to the sledgehammer/suid to make user-mounts work).

—

fedora-list mailing list

fedora-list@xxxxxxxx

To unsubscribe: <https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/fedora-list>