

## Re: SELinux, F8, and httpd

---

*Source:* <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Fedora/2008-02/msg03126.html>

---

- *From:* Nathan Grennan <[fedora-list@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:fedora-list@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 27 Feb 2008 20:37:29 -0800
- 

Daniel J Walsh wrote:

chcon is just like chown or chmod, and actually change a file context to httpd\_sys\_content\_t will survive a relabel, which you really should not need to do. If you cp the contents of the directory they should adopt the context of the destination directory. Also you could use restorecond to watch for the creation of files in the directory.

Would other contexts survive though? httpd\_sys\_content\_t is really here nor there in that situation, because it is the default policy.

So I could custom configure restorecond, but why does it even have to be a daemon. Why couldn't the kernel just to it automatically during the move?

\*\_disable\_trans was removed because it caused as many problems as it solved. When you disable trans on one domain, you can cause other domains to to blow up because file context gets screwed up.

This makes sense.

If you really want to disable trans you could change the context of httpd to bin\_t. chcon -t bin\_t /usr/sbin/httpd, but this will not survive a relabel. We are hoping to add permissive domains pretty soon, where you define httpd as a permissive domain, and it would only report access problems and not enforce them.

That it wouldn't survive a relabel makes it pretty worthless.

I was thinking about permissive domains when I was writing the original e-mail. Good to hear it is being worked on.

—

fedora-list mailing list

[fedora-list@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:fedora-list@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

To unsubscribe: <https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/fedora-list>