

Re: Fedora home server using core 9

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Fedora/2008-09/msg00249.html>

- *From:* g <geleem@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 02 Sep 2008 22:29:02 +0000
-

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Hash: SHA1

Marko Vojinovic wrote:

<snip>

you did your research well. i commend you.

<snip>

Ok, everyone already pointed out that both SL and CentOS are almost identical

true.

The SL distro has rather specific purpose --- to form a common basic

true.

This means the following --- in the future, RHEL and derivatives (like CentOS) might possibly push some updates that could interfere with the custom-made

true.

This is of course unacceptable,

very true.

means that SL will in time start lagging behind in being updated,

maybe to your thinking.

Re: Fedora home server using core 9

and some updates may well never reach the users of SL.

again, to your thinking.

The bottom line is that SL, CentOS and RHEL are equivalent *now*, but in future this may/will not be so, and SL will be regarded as "older".

still to your thinking.

If I were an ordinary user/admin of a system unrelated to Cern and/or grid stuff, I would stick to CentOS and leave SL to people who really need it

as a user, yes. as an admin of any system greater than 1 box, i can see many advantages of having system software that is 'grid capable'.

in a system of 1+, where is there no advantage of having a grid to share any form of number crunching, program compiling? or anything else that will take a single computer a long time to accomplish?

if you do not recognize yourself to be among those target users, my suggestion is to leave it alone.

and again, for a single box, i agree 10