

## Re: [uClinux-dev] Kernel 2.6 size increase

**Source:** <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2003-07/2698.html>

---

**From:** Alan Cox ([alan\\_at\\_lxorguk.ukuu.org.uk](mailto:alan_at_lxorguk.ukuu.org.uk))

**Date:** 07/30/03

To: Tom Rini <[trini@kernel.crashing.org](mailto:trini@kernel.crashing.org)>

Date: 29 Jul 2003 23:48:10 +0100

On Maw, 2003-07-29 at 23:29, Tom Rini wrote:

>

> *Well, from Pat's talk at OLS, it seems like sysfs would be an important  
> part of 'sleep', which is something at least some embedded systems care  
> about.*

sysfs is relevant for bigger systems but for small embedded stuff the whole PM layer is fairly "so what". At that level your hardware is tightly defined and you \*know\* the power management ordering. Policy becomes critical for performance and gets done at a very fine grained level – things like waking up the flash for a read then turning it back off on a timer for example.

–

To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in the body of a message to [majordomo@vger.kernel.org](mailto:majordomo@vger.kernel.org)

More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>

Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>