

[2.6 patch] mark QNX4FS_RW as BROKEN

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2004-10/10091.html>

From: Adrian Bunk (bunk_at_stusta.de)

Date: 10/30/04

Date: Sat, 30 Oct 2004 23:22:50 +0200
To: Anders Larsen <al@alarsen.net>

On Sat, Oct 30, 2004 at 07:01:28PM +0000, Anders Larsen wrote:

> On Sat, Oct 30, 2004 at 08:07:02PM +0200, Adrian Bunk wrote:
> >The patch below does the following cleanups in the QNX4 fs:
> >- remove two unused global functions
>
> If you remove any code inside the `#ifdef CONFIG_QNX4FS_RW` we might
> as well remove the option "config QNX4FS_RW" altogether.
> It's horribly broken, and I don't intend to fix it; while I was
> thinking about how to properly implement write-support, somebody else
> went away and did it. As that alternative seems to work well and is
> being actively maintained, I won't try to reinvent it.

OK, I understand why my patch wasn't good.

What about the following to mark it as BROKEN in the Kconfig file?

Signed-off-by: Adrian Bunk <bunk@stusta.de>

```
--- linux-2.6.10-rc1-mm2-full/fs/Kconfig.old 2004-10-30 23:15:17.000000000 +0200
+++ linux-2.6.10-rc1-mm2-full/fs/Kconfig 2004-10-30 23:15:34.000000000 +0200
@@ -1353,7 +1353,7 @@
```

```
config QNX4FS_RW
    bool "QNX4FS write support (DANGEROUS)"
- depends on QNX4FS_FS && EXPERIMENTAL
+ depends on QNX4FS_FS && EXPERIMENTAL && BROKEN
    help
    Say Y if you want to test write support for QNX4 file systems.
```

-

To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in the body of a message to majordomo@vger.kernel.org

More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>

Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>