

Re: UDP recvmsg blocks after select(), 2.6 bug?

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2004-10/1749.html>

From: Adam Heath (doogie_at_debian.org)

Date: 10/07/04

Date: Thu, 7 Oct 2004 03:28:51 -0500 (CDT)
To: unlisted-recipients: ; (no To-header on input)

On Thu, 7 Oct 2004, bert hubert wrote:

> *On Wed, Oct 06, 2004 at 09:50:10PM -0700, Dan Kegel wrote:*
>
> > *It would be nice to know how other operating systems behave*
> > *when receiving UDP packets with bad checksums. Can someone*
> > *try BSD and Solaris?*
>
> *It does not matter – this behaviour should not be depended upon. There are*
> *lots of other reasons why a packet might in fact not be available, kernels*
> *are allowed to drop UDP packets at will.*

I've been lurking and reading this thread with great interest. I had been leaning towards thinking the kernel was wrong, until I read this email.

This is a very excellent point.

–

To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in the body of a message to majordomo@vger.kernel.org
More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>
Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>