

Re: [PATCH 01/14] page-replace-single-batch-insert.patch

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2005-12/msg08635.html>

- *From:* Rik van Riel <riel@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 31 Dec 2005 09:44:07 -0500 (EST)
-

On Sat, 31 Dec 2005, Marcelo Tosatti wrote:

> Unification of active and inactive per cpu page lists is a requirement
> for CLOCK-Pro, right?

You can approximate the functionality through use of scan rates. Not quite as accurate as a unified clock, though.

—

All Rights Reserved

—

To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in the body of a message to majordomo@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>
Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>

• *References:*

- ◆ [\[PATCH\] vm: page-replace and clockpro](#)
 ◇ *From:* Peter Zijlstra
 - ◆ [\[PATCH 01/14\] page-replace-single-batch-insert.patch](#)
 ◇ *From:* Peter Zijlstra
 - ◆ [Re: \[PATCH 01/14\] page-replace-single-batch-insert.patch](#)
 ◇ *From:* Marcelo Tosatti
-
- Prev by Date: [Re: \[patch 00/2\] improve .text size on gcc 4.0 and newer compilers](#)
 - Next by Date: [Re: \[PATCH 1/9\] clockpro-nonresident.patch](#)
 - Previous by thread: [Re: \[PATCH 01/14\] page-replace-single-batch-insert.patch](#)
 - Next by thread: [\[PATCH 02/14\] page-replace-try_pageout.patch](#)
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ [Date](#)
 - ◆ [Thread](#)