

Re: [PATCH 10/11] LED: Add IDE disk activity LED trigger

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2006-01/msg11432.html>

- *From:* Bartlomiej Zolnierkiewicz <bzolnier@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 31 Jan 2006 15:46:58 +0100
-

Hi,

Why cannot existing block layer hook be used for this?

Why are you adding LED_FULL event handling to a specific device driver (ide-disk) but LED_OFF event handling to a generic IDE end request function?

This solution has very limited flexibility (disk accesses for all IDE ports will be registered as coming from the same source) but I guess it is fine?

Thanks,
Bartlomiej

On 1/31/06, Richard Purdie <rpurdie@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:
> Add an LED trigger for IDE disk activity to the IDE subsystem.
>
> Signed-off-by: Richard Purdie <rpurdie@xxxxxxxxx>

–
To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in the body of a message to majordomo@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>
Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ **[\[PATCH 10/11\] LED: Add IDE disk activity LED trigger](#)**
◇ *From:* Richard Purdie
- *References:*
 - ◆ **[\[PATCH 10/11\] LED: Add IDE disk activity LED trigger](#)**
◇ *From:* Richard Purdie
- Prev by Date: **[Re: Linux 2.6.15.2](#)**
- Next by Date: **[CONFIG PREEMPT SOFTIRQS](#)**

Re: [PATCH 10/11] LED: Add IDE disk activity LED trigger

- Previous by thread: [***\[PATCH 10/11\] LED: Add IDE disk activity LED trigger***](#)
- Next by thread: [***Re: \[PATCH 10/11\] LED: Add IDE disk activity LED trigger***](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [***Date***](#)
 - ◆ [***Thread***](#)