

Re: [PATCH] don't use flush\_tlb\_all in suspend time

## Re: [PATCH] don't use flush\_tlb\_all in suspend time

---

*Source:* <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2006-04/msg06692.html>

---

- *From:* Pavel Machek <pavel@xxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sun, 30 Apr 2006 14:04:22 +0200
- 

On So 29-04-06 23:57:21, Andrew Morton wrote:

Shaohua Li <shaohua.li@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sun, 2006-04-30 at 06:45 +0000, Pavel Machek wrote:

Hi!

flush\_tlb\_all uses on\_each\_cpu, which will disable/enable interrupt.  
In suspend/resume time, this will make interrupt wrongly enabled.

```
diff -puN
arch/i386/mm/init.c~flush_tlb_all_check
arch/i386/mm/init.c
---
linux-2.6.17-rc3/arch/i386/mm/init.c~flush_tlb_all_check
2006-04-29 08:47:05.000000000 +0800
+++
linux-2.6.17-rc3-root/arch/i386/mm/init.c
2006-04-29 08:48:15.000000000 +0800
@@ -420,7 +420,10 @@ void
zap_low_mappings (void)
#else
set_pgd(swapper_pg_dir+i, __pgd(0));
#endif
- flush_tlb_all();
+ if (cpus_weight(cpu_online_map) == 1)
+ local_flush_tlb();
+ else
+ flush_tlb_all();
}
```

Re: [PATCH] don't use flush\_tlb\_all in suspend time

Either it is okay to enable interrupts here -> unnecessary and ugly test, or it is not, and then we are broken in SMP case.

It's not broken in SMP case, APs are offlined here in suspend/resume.

In which case, how's about this?

Certainly better, I'd say.

```
@@ -420,7 +421,14 @@ void zap_low_mappings (void)
#else
set_pgd(swapper_pg_dir+i, __pgd(0));
#endif
- if (cpus_weight(cpu_online_map) == 1)
+ /*
+ * We can be called at suspend/resume time, with local interrupts
+ * disabled. But flush_tlb_all() requires that local interrupts be
+ * enabled.
+ *
+ * Happily, the APs are not yet started, so we can use local_flush_tlb() * in that case
+ */
+ if (num_online_cpus() == 1)
local_flush_tlb();
else
flush_tlb_all();
```

But this still scares. It means calling convention is "may enable interrupts with >1 cpu, may not with == 1 cpu".

Pavel

--

Thanks for all the (sleeping) penguins.

-

To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in the body of a message to majordomo@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>

Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>