

# Re: [PATCH] Open Firmware device tree virtual filesystem

---

*Source:* <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2006-12/msg08183.html>

---

- *From:* David Miller <[davem@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:davem@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 31 Dec 2006 12:46:12 -0800 (PST)
- 

From: Christoph Hellwig <[hch@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:hch@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>  
Date: Sun, 31 Dec 2006 15:41:03 +0000

On Sun, Dec 31, 2006 at 02:49:17AM -0800, David Miller wrote:

Am I the only person who sees something very wrong with this?

No, I completely agree with you on this.

If firmworks really wants to have a spearate filesystem that's fine.  
But please start with the ppc or sparc code and massage it into a  
a separate filesystem before adding support for a new platform.

The last thing we need is more duplication.

In case anyone wants to start this based on ppc I'd gladly help  
to test this on pmac (32 and 64bit) and cell (64 bit).

Thanks for the vote of sanity Christoph.

I'm happy to test on sparc64 of course too :-)

-

To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in  
the body of a message to [majordomo@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:majordomo@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)

More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>

Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>