

## Re: [GIT PATCH] HID and USB HID update for 2.6.21-rc2

---

*Source:* <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2007-02/msg10116.html>

---

- *From:* Linus Torvalds <[torvalds@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:torvalds@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 28 Feb 2007 09:09:13 -0800 (PST)
- 

On Wed, 28 Feb 2007, Jiri Kosina wrote:

The diffstat looks larger because the usbhid code is moved from USB-specific directory to HID-specific directory

No. The diffstat looks huge because you moved "hid\_blacklist" into a header file, and that is a big enough change that git won't consider the rename to be just a rename any more (you basically moved the old usbdrivers/usb/input/hid-core.c file into \*two\* files: hid-core.c and usbhid.h).

Why do that? It now gets included INTO EVERY DAMN FILE that includes <usbhid.h>, since that one now has that

```
static const struct hid_blacklist
```

definition in it. Yet \*nothing\* wants it, except for the one C file that it used to be in.

No way in hell will I include this kind of crap without major explanations for why the "crap" is not crap. And quite frankly, I don't think such explanations exist.

I'm also not going to pull it if you just add a new commit to undo the idiocy. That thing needs to be totally re-done, as far as I'm concerned. I don't want to touch anything that has EVER even \*seen\* anything that stupid.

Yes, I'm grumpy. I don't like big changes at this stage, and if they are also STUPID big changes, as this seems to be, I refuse to pull them entirely.

Linus

-

Re: [GIT PATCH] HID and USB HID update for 2.6.21-rc2

To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in  
the body of a message to majordomo@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx  
More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>  
Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>