

Re: [GIT PATCH] HID and USB HID update for 2.6.21-rc2

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2007-02/msg10151.html>

- *From:* Jiri Kosina <jkosina@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 28 Feb 2007 19:29:53 +0100 (CET)
-

On Wed, 28 Feb 2007, Linus Torvalds wrote:

But OK, I will leave it in there.

No. You need to realize just WHY it was wrong. Not just an "But OK".

Yep, I totally agree that with the `usbhid.h` thing I really had a bad day, it was braindamage without excuse, sorry.

I still think that creating a separate header file solely for purpose of having the large hid blacklist and all related defines separate from the actual implementation is needed. The pages and pages of blacklist just pollute the `hid-core.c` needlessly.

Of course `hid-core.c` must be the only user of this header. But seems like this solution opposes your taste ("The point of a header file is to **declare** things, not to have big data structures in"), so I would probably not go this way.

Thanks,

—

Jiri Kosina

—

To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in the body of a message to majordomo@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>

Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>