

## Re: [PATCH] binfmt\_flat: minimum support for theBlackfin relocations

---

*Source:* <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2007-09/msg06549.html>

---

- *From:* David McCullough <[David\\_Mccullough@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:David_Mccullough@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 21 Sep 2007 13:32:16 +1000
- 

Jivin Robin Getz lays it down ...

On Thu 20 Sep 2007 11:03, David McCullough pondered:

I would say that (a) is definately not the case. I am sure the BF guys will say they have been banging us on the head with changes for a long time and getting no where as we considered the changes to severe or out of line.

I don't think we have been "banging heads" with you (unless that is your feeling?) – how about "working together, but diverting to satisfy different needs" :)

No head banging feelings here, but I would understand if you guys felt that way occasionally ;-) I obviously forgot the happy face on that statement. It was meant as a good thing.

I think that we have had more issues in the uClinux-dist (userspace and build environment), but for kernel code, we have moved from some non-standard (stupid) things we were doing early on to what we have today – which is as common/standard with other archs as we can be.

Although this is slightly off topic – on the uClinux distribution side – most of our changes are based on requirements/desires from being able to support fdpic elf and flat formats, and to attempt to make things easier for end users/us to use/maintain. Where we do make changes – we always send the patch upstream and have the conversation with you (not everyone else does this), and some/most times rework things so they are more acceptable to you. We don't always come to an agreement – but we always have the discussion, and are willing to move if we can make things better that still meets both our needs/desires.

This particular patch was trivial in comparison to others I've seen,

Re: [PATCH] binfmt\_flat: minimum support for theBlackfin relocations

That is what we thought.

it fixed all the existing arches (not something that is always done) and seemed a reasonable start to finally get the BF guys up and running. Still, happy to make it better of course ;-)

As always – we are more than happy to explore/review alternative patches if people want to write/submit them.

Cheers,  
Davidm

---

David McCullough, david\_mccullough@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Ph:+61 734352815  
Secure Computing – SnapGear <http://www.uCdot.org> <http://www.cyberguard.com>

–

To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in the body of a message to majordomo@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx  
More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>  
Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>