

Re: [RFC,PATCH] loopback: calls netif\_receive\_skb() instead of netif\_rx()

## Re: [RFC,PATCH] loopback: calls netif\_receive\_skb() instead of netif\_rx()

---

*Source:* <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2008-03/msg11931.html>

---

- *From:* Ingo Molnar <[mingo@xxxxxxx](mailto:mingo@xxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 31 Mar 2008 12:12:37 +0200
- 

\* Eric Dumazet <[dada1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dada1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Problem is to check available space :

It depends on stack growing UP or DOWN, and depends on caller running on process stack, or softirq stack, or even hardirq stack.

ok – i wish such threads were on lkml so that everyone not just the netdev kabal can read it. It's quite ugly, but if we want to check stack free space i'd suggest for you to put a `stack_can_recurse()` call into `arch/x86/kernel/process.c` and offer a default `__weak` implementation in `kernel/fork.c` that always returns 0.

the rule on x86 should be something like this: on 4K stacks and 64-bit [which have irqstacks] free stack space can go as low as 25%. On 8K stacks [which doesnt have irqstacks but nests irqs] it should not go below 50% before falling back to the explicitly queued packet branch.

this way other pieces of kernel code code can choose between on-stack fast recursion and explicit iterators. Although i'm not sure i like the whole concept to begin with ...

Ingo

—

To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in the body of a message to [majordomo@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:majordomo@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>

Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>