

Re: 2.6.25-rc7-git5: Reported regressions from 2.6.24

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2008-03/msg12134.html>

- *From:* "Rafael J. Wysocki" <rjw@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 31 Mar 2008 22:31:07 +0200
-

On Monday, 31 of March 2008, Adrian Bunk wrote:

On Mon, Mar 31, 2008 at 03:24:10PM -0400, Mark Lord wrote:

Adrian Bunk wrote:

On Mon, Mar 31, 2008 at 01:48:15PM -0400, Mark Lord wrote:

Rafael,

Add this one to the list?

That's not a regression from 2.6.24

..

2.6.24 does not flood my syslog with those messages.
2.6.25-rc* does. Looks like a regression.

The original bug was a hidden regression in 2.6.23,
which nobody bothered to identify until now.

Let's try to get this patch into Linus' tree without arguing
whether it might be called a post 2.6.24 regression or not. ;)

Just because some code "gets away with" a regression
for a kernel or two, doesn't mean that regression shouldn't be fixed.

No disagreement on this one.

We already have over 200 known (and many more once reported) regressions
in the kernel, and all of them should be fixed...

Re: 2.6.25-rc7-git5: Reported regressions from 2.6.24

The patch have already been merged, BTW.

Thanks,
Rafael

—

To unsubscribe from this list: send the line "unsubscribe linux-kernel" in
the body of a message to majordomo@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
More majordomo info at <http://vger.kernel.org/majordomo-info.html>
Please read the FAQ at <http://www.tux.org/lkml/>