

Re: [PATCH 1/2] mfd: Core support for the WM8400 AudioPlus HiFi CODEC and PMU

Re: [PATCH 1/2] mfd: Core support for the WM8400 AudioPlus HiFi CODEC and PMU

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Kernel/2008-09/msg06015.html>

- *From:* Mark Brown <broonie@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 18 Sep 2008 11:29:40 +0100
-

On Wed, Sep 17, 2008 at 07:28:36PM -0700, Andrew Morton wrote:

Various ankle-biting comments, just to show I read it:

Thanks. Liam, I've just pushed out a version of the series fixing the issues below.

```
+static struct  
+{
```

hm, checkpatch chews a couple minutes CPU time then misses this error.

Yeah, it seems to be doing something wildly inefficient.

```
static struct {  
  
please.
```

Done – spot the autogenerated code. :/

```
} reg_data[] = {
```

would be conventional, too.

Done also.

Re: [PATCH 1/2] mfd: Core support for the WM8400 AudioPlus HiFi CODEC and PMU

```
+ wm8400_read(wm8400, reg, 1, &val);  
+  
+ mutex_unlock(&wm8400->io_lock);  
+  
+ return val;  
+}  
+EXPORT_SYMBOL_GPL(wm8400_reg_read);
```

Is it just me, or do all the useless newlines there look silly? sigh.

I doubt it but once you get on to multi-line operations in the middle of the lock (which some of the other functions do) I find it much clearer so went for consistency. I can change it if you like, though?

```
+#if defined(CONFIG_I2C) || defined(CONFIG_I2C_MODULE)
```