

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/RedHat/2007-10/msg00227.html>

- *From:* "Masamy, Juda (SSO – Rehovot)" <juda.masamy@xxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 23 Oct 2007 08:58:52 +0200
-

Hi All,

I have a problem with wu-ftp I cannot upload files that are bigger than 2G I have fc6 Linux.

Please help!

Tnx

Juda

UNIX is user friendly; it is just picky who its friends are!

-----Original Message-----

From: redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxx
[<mailto:redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxx>] On Behalf Of
redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxx
Sent: Monday, October 22, 2007 6:00 PM
To: redhat-list@xxxxxxxxxx
Subject: redhat-list Digest, Vol 44, Issue 23

Send redhat-list mailing list submissions to
redhat-list@xxxxxxxxxx

To subscribe or unsubscribe via the World Wide Web, visit
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>
or, via email, send a message with subject or body 'help' to
redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxx

You can reach the person managing the list at
redhat-list-owner@xxxxxxxxxx

When replying, please edit your Subject line so it is more specific
than "Re: Contents of redhat-list digest..."

Today's Topics:

1. Re: RAS and LiveCD (dbrett@xxxxxx)
2. Re: RAS and LiveCD (Mohd Irwan Jamaluddin)

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

3. Query on NTP (Ravi Shanmugam)
4. Re: Query on NTP (Mridul Dutta)
5. RE: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S (Sorin Srbu)
6. RE: redhat-list Digest, Vol 44, Issue 21 (Sorin Srbu)
7. RE: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S (Johan Booyesen)
8. RE: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S (Sorin Srbu)

Message: 1

Date: Sun, 21 Oct 2007 13:39:06 -0400 (EDT)

From: dbrett@xxxxxxx

Subject: Re: RAS and LiveCD

To: General Red Hat Linux discussion list <redhat-list@xxxxxxxxxxx>

Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.64.0710211337540.23548@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed

Thanks to Sorin, this message was getting lost due to a server time error.

I am resubmitting hoping to get some insite.

thanks
david

On Mon, 7 Jan 2002, dbrett@xxxxxxx wrote:

I was looking at buying a RAS, but could not find one I liked at a price I could afford. I was deciding to build one from and old computer I had lying around. The more I looked into it I though I would build a LiveCD to do this. I found a number of sites which talk about how to build LiveCD, but I am in need of information on how to build a RAS which windows boxes can connect too as well. The second thing I need help on is modifying

LiveCD.

thanks
david

Message: 2
Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2007 11:00:58 +0800
From: "Mohd Irwan Jamaluddin" <mij@xxxxxxxxxx>
Subject: Re: RAS and LiveCD
To: redhat-list@xxxxxxxxxx
Message-ID:
<b3d998b00710212000r75b62d0co41d57f03bc24ba1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=UTF-8

On 1/7/02, dbrett@xxxxxxx <dbrett@xxxxxxx> wrote:

I was looking at buying a RAS, but could not find one I liked at a
price I

could afford. I was deciding to build one from an old computer I had
lying around. The more I looked into it I thought I would build a

LiveCD

to do this. I found a number of sites which talk about how to build
LiveCD, but I am in need of information on how to build a RAS which
windows boxes can connect too as well. The second thing I need help

on is

modifying LiveCD.

What do you mean by RAS? Is it Red Hat Advanced Server?

Some good articles on building Live CD,
http://www.livecdlist.com/wiki/index.php/LiveCD_Creation_Resources
<http://www.linuxjournal.com/article/7246>

--
Regards,
Mohd Irwan Jamaluddin

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

Web: <http://www.irwan.name/>

Blog: <http://blog.irwan.name/>

Message: 3

Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2007 11:49:48 +0530

From: "Ravi Shanmugam" <ravi.shanmugam@xxxxxxxxxxx>

Subject: Query on NTP

To: <redhat-list@xxxxxxxxxxx>

Message-ID: <6025AF6D092FBF4B8C4813E924C8D7450C45A5@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Hi,

I am using a client which has access to 2 different servers with NTP daemon running in them.

Can I configure my client to sync the time with these 2 servers like

```
server server1
server server2
```

What will happen if both the servers have different time? To what time my server will sync?

Thanks. Your reply will be very helpful to me.

Regds,
Ravi R.S

SASKEN BUSINESS DISCLAIMER

This message may contain confidential, proprietary or legally privileged information. In case you are not the original intended Recipient of the message, you must not, directly or indirectly, use, Disclose, distribute, print, or copy any part of this message and you are requested to delete it and inform the sender. Any views expressed in this message are those of the individual sender unless otherwise stated. Nothing contained in this message shall be construed as an offer or acceptance of any offer by Sasken Communication Technologies Limited ("Sasken") unless sent with that express intent and with due authority of Sasken. Sasken has taken enough precautions to prevent the spread of viruses. However the company accepts no liability for any damage caused

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

by any virus
transmitted by this email

Message: 4
Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2007 11:57:11 +0530
From: "Mridul Dutta" <i.mridul@xxxxxxxx>
Subject: Re: Query on NTP
To: "General Red Hat Linux discussion list" <redhat-list@xxxxxxxx>
Message-ID:
<a35542d0710212327o14168f5bg247635d0934d1452@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

hi ,

Tlme server will sync from Primary server.

ex: from server 1

On 10/22/07, Ravi Shanmugam <ravi.shanmugam@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Hi,

I am using a client which has access to 2 different servers with NTP daemon running in them.

Can I configure my client to sync the time with these 2 servers like

```
server server1  
server server2
```

What will happen if both the servers have different time? To what time my server will sync?

Thanks. Your reply will be very helpful to me.

Regds,
Ravi R.S

SASKEN BUSINESS DISCLAIMER

This message may contain confidential, proprietary or legally

privileged

information. In
case you are not the original intended Recipient of the message, you

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

must

not, directly or indirectly, use, Disclose, distribute, print, or copy any part of this message and you are requested to delete it and inform the sender. Any views expressed in

this

message are those of the individual sender unless otherwise stated. Nothing

contained

in this message shall be construed as an offer or acceptance of any offer by Sasken Communication Technologies Limited ("Sasken") unless sent with that express intent

and

with due authority of Sasken. Sasken has taken enough precautions to prevent

the

spread of viruses. However the company accepts no liability for any damage

caused by

any virus transmitted by this email

--

redhat-list mailing list

unsubscribe <mailto:redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxx?subjectunsubscribe>
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>

--

Best Regards

Mridul Dutta

IBM India Pvt Ltd, Embassy Golf Links,
Bangalore.

Email:- mriddutt@xxxxxxxxxx

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

Message: 5

Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2007 08:50:34 +0200

From: "Sorin Srbu" <sorin.srbu@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Subject: RE: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S

To: "'General Red Hat Linux discussion list'" <redhat-list@xxxxxxxxxxxx>

Message-ID: <D216FFA163A947E7AD65B7FF31210989@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Johan Booyesen <> scribbled on Friday, October 19, 2007 6:28 PM:

You should do fine with CentOS5 as a replacement for RHEL5. CentOS is binary compatible, a clone if you want, of RHEL. Only real difference (and the one I can care slightly about) is that CentOS has had all the RHEL-logos etc stripped and that it uses yum for updating instead of RHEL's up2date and the RHN.

I use CentOS myself for trying out stuff before deploying to our RHEL3/4 machines. So far so good. I also like the fact that CentOS is supported as long as RHEL is. Redhat will support v5 until 2011?), and since CentOS is basically the same thing as RHEL, the same will be true for support and updates for CentOS.

See this thread on the CentOS forums, it might help you decide:

http://www.centos.org/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic_id=3107&forum=35
. 8-)

[off-track]

I used Fedora before to try out stuff before, but thought the fast update-cycle was a bit too bleeding edge. I searched around a while and came across CentOS and haven't looked back since.

[on-track]

HTH.

Matt,

Good question, and a very valid point. But anyway, this box is kind

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

of

a test-lab Oracle server, and needs to be RHEL5 for that purpose. I believe it will be pretty heavily used, so virtualizing it won't

happen

– I'll bet my last penny on that, and on the Springboks, by the way!

:)

I think the next thing to do is to perhaps flash the Adaptec adapter's BIOS to a newer version, and then see if the driver will load...I'm pretty certain that I've exhausted all other options for now.

Will see what the boss says on Monday...

Thanks very much for your reply.

Johan

-----Original Message-----

From: redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx

[mailto:redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx] On Behalf Of Matt

Sent: 19 October 2007 17:18

To: 'General Red Hat Linux discussion list'

Subject: RE: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S

Perhaps this is not the answer you are looking for, but maybe you can install another distro (Fedora or an older CENTOS) to get driver support.

Also, could using a virtual server work? I am just wondering if you

can

place something like CENT as the host OS then run VMWare and maybe create a virtual machine with RHEL5? Perhaps this VM will not require direct hardware support for the controller? You might be able to virtualize part of your infrastructure as well and run a legacy OS

which

supports your controller – then, include this box in the virtual infrastructure.

Maybe the real question is why is it that you need to run RHEL5 on a 4 year old box? I am not saying there is a problem with it, but is it essential that RHEL5 is run on this one?

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

Just some thoughts for you...

-----Original Message-----

From: redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx
[mailto:redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx]
On Behalf Of Andrew Bacchi
Sent: Friday, October 19, 2007 11:41 AM
To: General Red Hat Linux discussion list
Subject: Re: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S

I hope you've already solved this problem. Have you checked the

Adaptec

web site for a Linux driver? If anyone has one, it would be the OEM.

Johan Booyesen wrote:

I urgently need advice on this: I need to install RHEL5 on a server that is probably about 4 years old. It contains an Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S adapter, and there is no driver available that I can track down

on

short notice (and none included on the RHEL5 discs). Anaconda does

not

detect any disks on the server during installation.

Anyone know what my best bet is to get around this, or where to find

a

driver that will work?

Thanks very much.

Johan

--

veritatis simplex oratio est
-Seneca

Andrew Bacchi
Systems Programmer
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
phone: 518.276.6415 fax: 518.276.2809

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

<http://www.rpi.edu/~bacchi/>

--

redhat-list mailing list
unsubscribe <mailto:redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxx?subject=unsubscribe>
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.488 / Virus Database: 269.15.0/1077 – Release Date:
10/18/2007
9:54 AM

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.488 / Virus Database: 269.15.0/1077 – Release Date:
10/18/2007
9:54 AM

--

redhat-list mailing list
unsubscribe <mailto:redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxx?subject=unsubscribe>
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>

Message: 6
Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2007 08:51:53 +0200
From: "Sorin Srbu" <sorin.srbu@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Subject: RE: redhat-list Digest, Vol 44, Issue 21
To: "General Red Hat Linux discussion list" <redhat-list@xxxxxxxxxx>
Message-ID: <154EE9EFA1234C98844A58BD171A5CA9@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Masamy, Juda (SSO – Rehovot) <> scribbled on Sunday, October 21, 2007
10:57
AM:

What filesystems do you use on your remote and local machine?

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

10

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

I have a problem with wu-ftp I cannot upload file that is more than

2G

I have fc6 Linux.

Message: 7

Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2007 09:08:46 +0100

From: "Johan Booyesen" <johan@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Subject: RE: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S

To: <sorin.srbu@xxxxxxxxxxxx>, "General Red Hat Linux discussion list" <redhat-list@xxxxxxxxxx>

Message-ID:

<3D48C842BD295548968848572119008C0900E4@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

I don't think management over here will go for CentOS. Besides, if CentOS is the same as Red Hat, I assume that it too will not have the driver I need..?

Thanks for the suggestion, though!

Johan

-----Original Message-----

From: redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxx

[mailto:redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxx] On Behalf Of Sorin Srbu

Sent: 22 October 2007 07:51

To: 'General Red Hat Linux discussion list'

Subject: RE: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S

Johan Booyesen <> scribbled on Friday, October 19, 2007 6:28 PM:

You should do fine with CentOS5 as a replacement for RHEL5. CentOS is binary compatible, a clone if you want, of RHEL. Only real difference (and the one I can care slightly about) is that CentOS has had all the RHEL-logos etc stripped and that it uses yum for updating instead of RHEL's up2date and the RHN.

I use CentOS myself for trying out stuff before deploying to our RHEL3/4 machines. So far so good. I also like the fact that CentOS is supported as long as RHEL is. Redhat will support v5 until 2011?), and since CentOS is basically the same thing as RHEL, the same will be true for support and updates for CentOS.

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

See this thread on the CentOS forums, it might help you decide:

http://www.centos.org/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic_id=3107&forum=35
. 8-)

[off-track]

I used Fedora before to try out stuff before, but thought the fast update-cycle was a bit too bleeding edge. I searched around a while and came across CentOS and haven't looked back since.

[on-track]

HTH.

Matt,

Good question, and a very valid point. But anyway, this box is kind of a test-lab Oracle server, and needs to be RHEL5 for that purpose. I believe it will be pretty heavily used, so virtualizing it won't happen
- I'll bet my last penny on that, and on the Springboks, by the way!
:)

I think the next thing to do is to perhaps flash the Adaptec adapter's

BIOS to a newer version, and then see if the driver will load...I'm pretty certain that I've exhausted all other options for now.

Will see what the boss says on Monday...

Thanks very much for your reply.

Johan

-----Original Message-----

From: redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx

[mailto:redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx] On Behalf Of Matt

Sent: 19 October 2007 17:18

To: 'General Red Hat Linux discussion list'

Subject: RE: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S

Perhaps this is not the answer you are looking for, but maybe you can install another distro (Fedora or an older CENTOS) to get driver support.

Also, could using a virtual server work? I am just wondering if you can place something like CENT as the host OS then run VMWare and maybe

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

create a virtual machine with RHEL5? Perhaps this VM will not require

direct hardware support for the controller? You might be able to virtualize part of your infrastructure as well and run a legacy OS which supports your controller – then, include this box in the virtual

infrastructure.

Maybe the real question is why is it that you need to run RHEL5 on a 4

year old box? I am not saying there is a problem with it, but is it essential that RHEL5 is run on this one?

Just some thoughts for you...

-----Original Message-----

From: redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx
[mailto:redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx]
On Behalf Of Andrew Bacchi
Sent: Friday, October 19, 2007 11:41 AM
To: General Red Hat Linux discussion list
Subject: Re: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S

I hope you've already solved this problem. Have you checked the Adaptec web site for a Linux driver? If anyone has one, it would be

the OEM.

Johan Booyen wrote:

I urgently need advice on this: I need to install RHEL5 on a server that is probably about 4 years old. It contains an Adaptec SCSI RAID

2000S adapter, and there is no driver available that I can track down

on short notice (and none included on the RHEL5 discs). Anaconda does not detect any disks on the server during installation.

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

Anyone know what my best bet is to get around this, or where to find a driver that will work?

Thanks very much.

Johan

--

veritatis simplex oratio est
-Seneca

Andrew Bacchi
Systems Programmer
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
phone: 518.276.6415 fax: 518.276.2809

<http://www.rpi.edu/~bacchi/>

--

redhat-list mailing list
unsubscribe <mailto:redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxx?subject=unsubscribe>
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.488 / Virus Database: 269.15.0/1077 – Release Date:
10/18/2007
9:54 AM

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.488 / Virus Database: 269.15.0/1077 – Release Date:
10/18/2007
9:54 AM

--

redhat-list mailing list
unsubscribe <mailto:redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxx?subject=unsubscribe>
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>

--

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

redhat-list mailing list
unsubscribe <mailto:redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxx?subject=unsubscribe>
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>

Message: 8
Date: Mon, 22 Oct 2007 11:25:19 +0200
From: "Sorin Srbu" <sorin.srbu@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Subject: RE: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S
To: "'General Red Hat Linux discussion list'" <redhat-list@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Message-ID: <A36D3EC5077A4D679DBACDC20EEAF0D8@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Johan Booyesen <<mailto:johan@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>> scribbled on Monday,
October
22, 2007 10:09 AM:

Not so sure about that, as I've noticed that CentOS seems to have more
device
support. Without going into specifics, I've managed to install CentOS a
while
ago in VMWare server using virtual scsi-drives that RHEL didn't cope
with at
the time. Dito for some physical machines.

Maybe CentOS has more user-centric repos available than RHEL does, which
could
account for more hw-support or something to that effect. I'm speculating
heavily now though. 8-)

Give it a go though, it can't more than fail (it's free as well, so why
hold
back, after all it's a lab-machine right?), and in that case at least
you'll
know it doesn't work with CentOS and will have a reference for the
future.
Only because Oracle isn't certified for CentOS doesn't mean it won't
run. 8-)

I don't think management over here will go for CentOS. Besides, if
CentOS is the same as Red Hat, I assume that it too will not have the
driver I need..?

Thanks for the suggestion, though!

Johan

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

-----Original Message-----

From: redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx

[mailto:redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx] On Behalf Of Sorin Srbu

Sent: 22 October 2007 07:51

To: 'General Red Hat Linux discussion list'

Subject: RE: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S

Johan Booyen <> scribbled on Friday, October 19, 2007 6:28 PM:

You should do fine with CentOS5 as a replacement for RHEL5. CentOS is binary compatible, a clone if you want, of RHEL. Only real difference (and the one I can care slightly about) is that CentOS has had all the RHEL-logos etc stripped and that it uses yum for updating instead of RHEL's up2date and the RHN.

I use CentOS myself for trying out stuff before deploying to our

RHEL3/4

machines. So far so good. I also like the fact that CentOS is

supported

as long as RHEL is. Redhat will support v5 until 2011?), and since CentOS is basically the same thing as RHEL, the same will be true for support and updates for CentOS.

See this thread on the CentOS forums, it might help you decide:

http://www.centos.org/modules/newbb/viewtopic.php?topic_id=3107&forum=35

. 8-)

[off-track]

I used Fedora before to try out stuff before, but thought the fast update-cycle was a bit too bleeding edge. I searched around a while

and

came across CentOS and haven't looked back since.

[on-track]

HTH.

Matt,

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

Good question, and a very valid point. But anyway, this box is kind of a test-lab Oracle server, and needs to be RHEL5 for that purpose. I believe it will be pretty heavily used, so virtualizing it won't

happen

– I'll bet my last penny on that, and on the Springboks, by the way!

:)

I think the next thing to do is to perhaps flash the Adaptec

adapter's

BIOS to a newer version, and then see if the driver will load...I'm pretty certain that I've exhausted all other options for now.

Will see what the boss says on Monday...

Thanks very much for your reply.

Johan

-----Original Message-----

From: redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx

[mailto:redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx] On Behalf Of Matt

Sent: 19 October 2007 17:18

To: 'General Red Hat Linux discussion list'

Subject: RE: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S

Perhaps this is not the answer you are looking for, but maybe you can install another distro (Fedora or an older CENTOS) to get driver

support.

Also, could using a virtual server work? I am just wondering if you can place something like CENT as the host OS then run VMWare and

maybe

create a virtual machine with RHEL5? Perhaps this VM will not

require

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

direct hardware support for the controller? You might be able to virtualize part of your infrastructure as well and run a legacy OS which supports your controller – then, include this box in the

virtual

infrastructure.

Maybe the real question is why is it that you need to run RHEL5 on a

4

year old box? I am not saying there is a problem with it, but is it essential that REHL5 is run on this one?

Just some thoughts for you...

-----Original Message-----

From: redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx
[mailto:redhat-list-bounces@xxxxxxxxxxx]
On Behalf Of Andrew Bacchi
Sent: Friday, October 19, 2007 11:41 AM
To: General Red Hat Linux discussion list
Subject: Re: Adaptec SCSI RAID 2000S

I hope you've already solved this problem. Have you checked the Adaptec web site for a Linux driver? If anyone has one, it would be

the

OEM.

Johan Booyesen wrote:

I urgently need advice on this: I need to install RHEL5 on a server that is probably about 4 years old. It contains an Adaptec SCSI

RAID

2000S adapter, and there is no driver available that I can track

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

down

on short notice (and none included on the RHEL5 discs).
Anaconda
does not detect any disks on the server during installation.

Anyone know what my best bet is to get around this, or
where to find

a

driver that will work?

Thanks very much.

Johan

--

veritatis simplex oratio est
-Seneca

Andrew Bacchi
Systems Programmer
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
phone: 518.276.6415 fax: 518.276.2809

<http://www.rpi.edu/~bacchi/>

--

redhat-list mailing list
unsubscribe <mailto:redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxx?subject=unsubscribe>
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.488 / Virus Database: 269.15.0/1077 - Release Date:
10/18/2007 9:54 AM

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.
Version: 7.5.488 / Virus Database: 269.15.0/1077 - Release Date:
10/18/2007 9:54 AM

--

RE: redhat-list Digest, WU-FTPD problem

redhat-list mailing list
unsubscribe <mailto:redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxx?subject=unsubscribe>
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>

—
redhat-list mailing list
Unsubscribe <mailto:redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxx?subject=unsubscribe>
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>

End of redhat-list Digest, Vol 44, Issue 23

—
redhat-list mailing list
unsubscribe <mailto:redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxx?subject=unsubscribe>
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>