

Re: Second ethernet without router?

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/RedHat/2007-11/msg00299.html>

- *From:* Troy Amburg <troya@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 28 Nov 2007 15:47:14 -0800
-

You might want make sure you didn't set the default route for the entire host to 192.168.1.1.

On Nov 28, 2007, at 3:39 PM, Steven Buehler wrote:

I have several servers running on public IP's all on their eth0's. They of course have a router -> switch -> servers. I would like to setup a local net on the eth1's of each server, but I don't have a router. Just the switch and servers. I must have goofed something up pretty bad because I setup the eth1 on one of the servers to have an IP of 192.168.1.1, Mask of 255.255.255.0 and gateway of 192.168.1.1. The second server was an IP of 192.168.1.2, Mask of 255.255.255.0 and gateway of 192.168.1.1. After doing an "ifup eth1" on each machine, the public IP's on the eth0's can't be reached any more either. Do I need to have a separate router and switch to do this?

Thanks
Steve

--
redhat-list mailing list
unsubscribe <mailto:redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxxx?subject=unsubscribe>
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>

--
redhat-list mailing list
unsubscribe <mailto:redhat-list-request@xxxxxxxxxxx?subject=unsubscribe>
<https://www.redhat.com/mailman/listinfo/redhat-list>