

SuSE: Fw: [SLE] XP and 8.2 Dual Boot, want to install 9.2, any gotcdhas? [DONE]

## Fw: [SLE] XP and 8.2 Dual Boot, want to install 9.2, any gotcdhas? [DONE]

**Source:** <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/SuSE/2005-01/3748.html>

---

**From:** david rankin (*drankin\_at\_cox-internet.com*)

**Date:** 01/31/05

To: "Suse Linux" <suse-linux-e@suse.com>

Date: Sun, 30 Jan 2005 19:47:40 -0600

Sorry for the direct reply. This is re-sent to the list....

--

----- Original Message -----

From: "david rankin" <drankin@cox-internet.com>

To: "Chris" <melioire@iinet.net.au>

Sent: Sunday, January 30, 2005 7:46 PM

Subject: Re: [SLE] XP and 8.2 Dual Boot, want to install 9.2, any gotcdhas? [DONE]

> >

>>

>> Just a thought,

>> Have you configured the monitor? I had similar probs and found

>> that

>> my monitor was identified incorrectly. I fixed that and my problems went away.

>> Chris

>

> Well,

>

> I have the Samsung 1100df. Suse only has the 1100plus. The only difference is the horizontal frequency 30 - 121 on the df, 28 - 115 on the plus. I have changed that, but I still have problems. SaX2 seems to freeze when adjusting position and frequency. It works for one or two adjustments, but then quits responding. I'm now looking at the kernel parameters that grub passes. Some posts suggest that the default grub menu.lst could be the problem.

>

> --

> David C. Rankin, J.D., P.E.

> RANKIN LAW FIRM, PLLC

> 510 Ochiltree Street

> Nacogdoches, Texas 75961

> (936) 715-9333

> (936) 715-9339 fax

> www.rankin-bertin.com

> --

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Chris" <melioire@iinet.net.au>

> To: <suse-linux-e@suse.com>

> Sent: Thursday, January 01, 2004 1:35 AM

> Subject: RE: [SLE] XP and 8.2 Dual Boot, want to install 9.2, any gotcdhas? [DONE]

Fw: [SLE] XP and 8.2 Dual Boot, want to install 9.2, any gotcdhas? [DONE]

SuSE: Fw: [SLE] XP and 8.2 Dual Boot, want to install 9.2, any gotcdhas? [DONE]

```
>
>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: David Rankin [mailto:drankin@cox-internet.com]
>> Sent: Monday, 31 January 2005 6:20 AM
>> To: suse-linux-e@suse.com
>> Subject: Re: [SLE] XP and 8.2 Dual Boot, want to install 9.2, any
>> gotcdhas? [DONE]
>>
>>
>> As promised,
>>
>> I'm reporting back on the install of 9.2 that replaced 8.2 on my dual
>> boot
>> XP/SuSE box. The install went flawlessly. I used the 'new installation'
>> option with custom package selection. All hardware on the MSI K7N2 Delta
>> ILSR
>> was recognized and configured properly. Even the liteon CDRW and Sony
>> DVDRW
>> were configured.
>>
>> The only glitch is the display resolution. I want 1600x1200. But SaX2
>> gives
>> me 1600x1206 and the desktop is beyond the screen (like virtually). If I
>> move
>> the mouse to the top of the screen, the screen scrolls to show me the
>> rest
>> of
>> it. (I still haven't figured out where to turn that off) 1280x1024 works
>> fine.
>>
>> A shocker was the size of the fonts! Wow, I never knew 10 pt. San Serif
>> could
>> look so huge (like it was 1 inch tall). Setting all to 8 pt. has helped.
>>
>> That's pretty much all. Fantastically simple install. If anybody has any
>> suggestions on the 1600x1200 issue, I would welcome them.
>>
>>
>>
>> On Saturday 29 January 2005 14:04, david rankin wrote:
>>> > david rankin wrote:
>>> > | Mates,
>>> > |
>>> > | I have an XP and 8.2 dual boot that I would like to remove 8.2
>>> > and
>>> > | install 9.2 in its place. It seems like the easiest way to do this
>>> > is
>>> > | to just boot from the 9.2 DVD and tell it to wipe out the 8.2
>>> > install
>>> > | and put 9.2 in its place. I do not want to destroy the XP install.
>>> > Is
>>> > | there anything special I need to do? Anybody run into any problems
>>> > | doing this?
>>> >
>>> > David,
>>> >
>>> > It will ask at the beginning if you want to update an existing Linux
>>> > installation or overwrite it and perform a new installation.
>>> >
>>> > I would not expect to experience a smooth 8.2 to 9.2 update. There
```

SuSE: Fw: [SLE] XP and 8.2 Dual Boot, want to install 9.2, any gotcdhas? [DONE]

```
>>> > were
>>> > significant changes from 8.2 to 9.0 and, again, from 9.0 to 9.1. I
>>> > agree that a "fresh" installation, from scratch, is probably the best
>>> > way to go.
>>> >
>>> > BTW, when you select "new" installation, it will evaluate your
>>> > existing
>>> > Linux partitions and recommend a partitioning scheme. If you don't
>>> > like
>>> > it, you can edit it several times before anything is ever committed to
>>> > disk.
>>> >
>>> > I don't use XP, but my understanding is that the default will be to
>>> > mount the NTFS partition(s) read-only, anyway.
>>>
>>> I'll let you know how it goes, but the plan is to overwrite 8.2. There
>>> is
>>> nothing there that I need. Thanks to all for your help.
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> David C. Rankin, J.D., P.E.
>>> RANKIN LAW FIRM, PLLC
>>> 510 Ochiltree Street
>>> Nacogdoches, Texas 75961
>>> (936) 715-9333
>>> (936) 715-9339 fax
>>> www.rankin-bertin.com
>>> --
>>
>> --
>> David C. Rankin, J.D., P.E.
>> Rankin Law Firm, PLLC
>> 510 Ochiltree Street
>> Nacogdoches, Texas 75961
>> www.rbpllc.om
>>
>> --
>> Check the headers for your unsubscription address
>> For additional commands send e-mail to suse-linux-e-help@suse.com
>> Also check the archives at http://lists.suse.com
>> Please read the FAQs: suse-linux-e-faq@suse.com
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> --
>> Check the headers for your unsubscription address
>> For additional commands send e-mail to suse-linux-e-help@suse.com
>> Also check the archives at http://lists.suse.com
>> Please read the FAQs: suse-linux-e-faq@suse.com
>>
>>
>>
>
--
Check the headers for your unsubscription address
For additional commands send e-mail to suse-linux-e-help@suse.com
Also check the archives at http://lists.suse.com
Please read the FAQs: suse-linux-e-faq@suse.com
```