

[SLE] [MAILER-DAEMONUndelivered Mail Returned to Sender]

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/SuSE/2005-05/2927.html>

From: Allen (*gorebofh_at_comcast.net*)

Date: 05/28/05

Date: Fri, 27 May 2005 21:17:34 -0400

To: suse-linux-e@suse.com

OK, why is this guy not kicked off this list? There have been multiple discussions on the list about this email addy, did anyone send a mail to admins?

----- Forwarded message from Mail Delivery System <MAILER-DAEMON@linux.local> -----

X-Original-To: gore@localhost.HPGeeko.org

Delivered-To: gore@localhost.HPGeeko.org

X-Originating-IP: [65.173.158.7]

Date: Fri, 27 May 2005 16:20:58 -0600 (MDT)

From: Mail Delivery System <MAILER-DAEMON@linux.local>

Subject: Undelivered Mail Returned to Sender

To: gorebofh@comcast.net

Content-Description: Notification

This is the Postfix program at host linux.local.

I'm sorry to have to inform you that the message returned below could not be delivered to one or more destinations.

For further assistance, please send mail to <postmaster>

If you do so, please include this problem report. You can delete your own text from the message returned below.

The Postfix program

<gbrown@localhost.linux.local>: deferred transport

Content-Description: Delivery error report

Reporting-MTA: dns; linux.local

Arrival-Date: Fri, 20 May 2005 09:59:52 -0600 (MDT)

Final-Recipient: rfc822; gbrown@localhost.linux.local

Action: failed

Status: 4.0.0

Diagnostic-Code: X-Postfix; deferred transport

Content-Description: Undelivered Message

Mailing-List: contact suse-linux-e-help@suse.com; run by ezmlm

Precedence: bulk

list-help: <mailto:suse-linux-e-help@suse.com>

list-unsubscribe: <mailto:suse-linux-e-unsubscribe-gbrown=unionavenue.com@suse.com>

list-post: <mailto:suse-linux-e@suse.com>

X-MIME-Notice: attachments may have been removed from this message

X-Mailinglist: suse-linux-e

X-Message-Number-for-archive: 235562

Delivered-To: mailing list suse-linux-e@suse.com

Date: Sat, 7 May 2005 21:28:04 -0400

From: Allen <gorebofh@comcast.net>

To: sle <suse-linux-e@suse.com>

Mail-Followup-To: sle <suse-linux-e@suse.com>

In-Reply-To: <1115432671.20020.4.camel@serpentor.cobrala>

User-Agent: Mutt/1.5.6i

X-Virus-Scanned: by amavisd-new at scanhost.suse.de

X-Spam-Status: No, hits=0.6 tagged_above=-20.0 required=5.0 tests=BAYES_50,
DNS_FROM_RFC_POST, MY_LINUX

X-Spam-Level:

Subject: Re: [SLE] SUSE 9.3: buggy as hell

On Fri, May 06, 2005 at 07:24:31PM -0700, Preston Crawford wrote:

> On Fri, 2005-05-06 at 18:47 -0700, Ben Rosenberg wrote:

> > On 5/6/05, Preston Crawford <me@prestoncrawford.com> wrote:

> > > *That's very odd. And I guess it proves the point of some other posters*

> > > *here, that sometimes some people have bugs that others never ever see.*

> > > *Because I've never seen this one. 9.2 has been very smooth for me, on*

> > > *the balance.*

> >

> > *Yeah. I kind of figured no one had seen this problem because I posted*

> > *to the list a couple times about it and no one responded. :)*

> >

> > *Doesn't matter now.. 9.2 is a bad memory for me.*

>

> *Weird. I'm scared to go to 9.3, personally. I've even thought about NLD.*

> *\$50 and all. I was thinking about this yesterday and maybe I do need to*

> *adopt a mindset similar to what another poster said when they said they*

> *install all their own major software. Maybe I just need to find a distro*

> *with a solid kernel, GUI and userland and then just install my own*

> *software. I kind of did this when I ran Fedora. Relied on them for the*

> *basic distro functionality such as the Desktop Manager, web browser,*

> *mail client, etc. then installed my own Apache, MySQL, Java, Tomcat,*

> *etc.*

>

> > > *the fact that suddenly I had 20 libraries I may never need sitting on my*

> > > *machine taking up space. I'll always prefer binary packaging.*

pkg_add -r

> > *We tested FreeBSD with the custom software we are build and Linux was
> > about 30% faster... which hacked off one of my co-workers who is a
> > freeBSD person. *laugh**
>
> *That doesn't shock me. I found it fast for some things, but I've met
> many in the FreeBSD community who admit it's slower. They'll say it's
> because of the task switching in FreeBSD being bad and I think they're
> right. 5.x may be better and faster. But it's still true, regardless of
> cause.*

5.X is mainly for SMP. The toher improvements they need to concentrate with are hardware support / drivers, and something for updates better than what they have now.

> > *One can do pkgs with Gentoo but then what would be the point to using
> > it? If one uses the pkgs then it's just an infant Linux distro with a
> > way smaller userbase the SUSE or RH. :)*

I won't even start on Gentoo. I can't stand it, or their user base.

> *Totally.*
>
> *Preston*
>
>
> --
> *Check the headers for your unsubscribe address*
> *For additional commands send e-mail to suse-linux-e-help@suse.com*
> *Also check the archives at <http://lists.suse.com>*
> *Please read the FAQs: suse-linux-e-faq@suse.com*
>
>

--
Check the headers for your unsubscribe address
For additional commands send e-mail to suse-linux-e-help@suse.com
Also check the archives at <http://lists.suse.com>
Please read the FAQs: suse-linux-e-faq@suse.com
----- End forwarded message -----
--

Check the headers for your unsubscribe address
For additional commands send e-mail to suse-linux-e-help@suse.com
Also check the archives at <http://lists.suse.com>
Please read the FAQs: suse-linux-e-faq@suse.com