

Re: Fw: NOT HAPPY after Breezy upgrade/install

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Ubuntu/2006-04/msg00486.html>

- *From:* Alfred Vahau <Alf.Vahau@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 05 Apr 2006 12:55:50 +1000
-

I had an issue with manual config in 5.10.
My problem was solved when I used the
System->Networking->Administration menu to
both enable the interface as well as activate it.
And it worked. I didn't both to figure out why the manual
config didn't work contrary to my expectation.

Alfred,

Jay Ridgley wrote:

----- Original Message -----

From: Jay Ridgley <<mailto:jridgley2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>>
To: Peter Garrett <<mailto:peter.garrett@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>>
Cc: ubuntu-users@xxxxxxxxxxx <<mailto:ubuntu-users@xxxxxxxxxxx>>
Sent: Tuesday, April 04, 2006 8:41 PM
Subject: Re: NOT HAPPY after Breezy upgrade/install

Peter,

cc: ubuntu-users

Sorry for the direct reply, however, I have limited means to provide information. An *
indicates my response,

I appologize for the length and any misspellings.

What would you suggest I try next?

On Mon, 3 Apr 2006 20:50:27 -0500

"Jay Ridgley" <jridgley2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx <<mailto:jridgley2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>>> wrote:

> Folks,

>

> This is hard for me to say, however, after I did an upgrade from a working 5.04 Ubuntu
installation to 5.10. I discovered that networking did not work anymore.

Can you be more specific?

* I have a small network (3 systems + firewall system). Prior to the latest attempt to
upgradge, it was working fine.

afterwards I am no longer able to ping from my main workstation to the other systems. If I
manually enter:

sudo ifconfig eth0 polar netmask 255.255.255.0 I am able to do so. However I am still unable

Re: Fw: NOT HAPPY after Breezy upgrade/install

to get to the outside world ie. "The net" from that system, yet I am able to get there from the other systems I am using. One is a laptop that runs MS Windows (I want to convert it as well but not yet..). It is the one I am using for this connection! It is connected to my network and is called nomad (see below) What was/is your network setup?

- * All hardwired systems (cat 5) with a hub.
- * polar 192.168.x.4 ursa 192.168.x.3 nomad 192.168.x.10 <----- workstations
- * mateo 192.168.x.2 <----- firewall, gateway also connects to the "Net" via a 2nd NIC via a dynamic IP cable * connection. It is also my nameserver.

What is the contents of /etc/network/interfaces ?

* This file contained the wrong IP address for polar, I thought AHA I found it, but after correcting that and all * all other entries associated with it, including /etc/networks. I rebooted --- no joy --- had to add the eth0 manually.

* no other changes in behavior have been discovered.

Was your nic recognised?

* Indications are that it is being recognized because when I do an ifconfig -a before doing the ifconfig for eth0 it

* shows everything but the IP address. The loopback interface (lo) shows up as well. The tulip driver is being

* loaded during boot.

What hardware are you using?

* An Intel box with a 686 processor, scsi disks, tape and CDRW and an IDE CD (added specifically to support

* Ubuntu, but that is another matter). Plus the other necessary HW including the NIC.

"Did not work" in what sense? <-- see above

Could you ping between network machines? <-- see above and below

Were you unable to connect to the Internet? <-- see above and below

Are you using cable, dialup, adsl ? Cable Do you use Samba? <-- NO NFS? <-- NO And so on...

* The following commands are what I have been using to get as far as I have. Note x stands for the the third node of

* the quad, it is correct.

* ifconfig <-- shows ONLY lo

* route -n <-- shows nothing bu the header

* ifconfig -a <-- no eth0 IP address but eth0, lo and sit0 show up

* sudo ifconfig eth0 polar netmask 255.255.255.0

* ifconfig -a <---- shows the correct IP address for eth0 all the others are unchanged

* --- NOW I can ping other systems on my network

* route -n <---- shows an entry for eth0

* sudo route add -net 192.168.x.0 netmask 255.255.255.0 gw mateo

* route -n <-- the added gateway line is added and appears correct

* ping yahoo.com <-- unknown host, I still am able to ping within my network

> After several attempts to manually configure it with no success I decided

> to do an install.

An install? Do you mean a complete OS reinstall ? <-- Yes What do you mean exactly?

* I booted from an install disk for 5.10 direct from Canonical. And did a default installation, just like I did

* when I initially brought up 5.04.

> The results of that activity were also less than what I

> wanted... networking still broke, thunderbird is not there, and some other

Re: Fw: NOT HAPPY after Breezy upgrade/install

> minor items are also not right.

As far as I know, Thunderbird is not installed by default in Ubuntu – Evolution is (although IIRC Thunderbird is on the disc)

>

> I have been using Linux for years and this has been about the most frustrating experience I have had.

That's unfortunate – we might be better able to help with a bit more detail about your setup and a bit more precision – for instance, do you have any error messages you can tell us about? (Alt-F4 in the installer might tell you what went wrong on the reinstall, for example, or the install logs in /var/log/installer ?)

* Nothing indicating any errors, so I guess all was OK.

>

> Can I go back to 5.04 from 5.10?

If you mean a direct downgrade, I don't think so – at least not without a lot of frustrating fiddling about.

> At least it was working except for supporting a new HP PSC1610 printer

> I purchased. That was the reason for the move to 5.10, it was suggested that perhaps it would be easier

> to debug the problem.

>

> My only other alternative is to go back to RedHat 7.3, which was what I was using when I moved to Ubuntu.

Red Hat 7.3 was a great distro – but that was a few years ago...

* It was working just fine for me, except I wanted to get some of the new things like firefox and thunderbird.

* I converted to Ubuntu in January, I liked it! When I bought the printer i could not get it to be recognized

* and the person working with me suggested upgrading to 5.10.

Peter

--

Linux User #343161

Thanks for the hints,

Jay

--

ubuntu-users mailing list

ubuntu-users@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

<https://lists.ubuntu.com/mailman/listinfo/ubuntu-users>