

## Re: FAT32 partision > 32GB

---

*Source:* <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Ubuntu/2006-12/msg01585.html>

---

- *From:* Roby <[electricalsciences@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:electricalsciences@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 24 Dec 2006 17:19:23 -0500
- 

Ben Edwards wrote:

Also what are the drawbacks of fat32 apart from performance. Am I correct there are issues with long filenames (seem to remember something about this).

Ben

My usb HD came with FAT32 format and I used it that way for almost three years. No probs with filenames, but I did suffer from bruises on my forehead. These from banging my head against the wall every time win2k's defrag program crashed while stirring around treasured backups. I switched to ext3. Bruises healed. For rare encounters with windows, I back up with Acronis TrueImage, which speaks ext3.

—

ubuntu-users mailing list

ubuntu-users@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Modify settings or unsubscribe at: <https://lists.ubuntu.com/mailman/listinfo/ubuntu-users>