

Re: swap space

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Mailing-Lists/Ubuntu/2007-12/msg01409.html>

- *From:* Derek Broughton <news@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 20 Dec 2007 12:50:40 -0400
-

Shane McKinley wrote:

Ekk, I didn't know people still used that. Always seemed flaky to me.

Huh? Many people use it, and it's not flaky. I virtually *_never_* reboot. With <20 seconds to restart a suspended disk image and at least a minute to get from boot to a KDE session with the same apps open it's a no-brainer.

On Dec 19, 2007 11:32 AM, Guillaume <silencer@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Shane McKinley a écrit :

I am no expert on swap, but since it is just for temporary storage (like RAMDISK) and there should be no problem with this concept.

I'm not sure...

If you use suspend to disk on one of the linux, when you suspend, it will write all the RAM to the swap for the next boot...

It does far more than that.

And if you start another linux on your computer.... it may clean up the swap.... so you will lose all information....

What information? All of your drives are synced, so you don't lose any of that. Network interfaces are actually torn right down. Anything else that might be problematic can be added to a list of services or modules to be stopped and started.

Re: swap space

--
derek

--
ubuntu-users mailing list
ubuntu-users@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
Modify settings or unsubscribe at: <https://lists.ubuntu.com/mailman/listinfo/ubuntu-users>