

# Re: Suse Linux: first Impression, Too Much Work

**Source:** <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/alt.os.linux.suse/2005-08/0101.html>

---

**From:** Peter Köhlmann ([peter.koehlmann\\_at\\_t-online.de](mailto:peter.koehlmann_at_t-online.de))

**Date:** 08/01/05

Date: Mon, 01 Aug 2005 19:08:29 +0200

begin virus.txt.scr Angry American wrote:

>  
> *"John Kloosterman" <JClosed\_AD\_netscape\_DoT\_net> wrote*  
>> *And for the install procedure. Well – with some newer hardware and XP*  
>> *it's not very complicated. Just be prepared to install a lot of drivers*  
>> *and rebooting the machine every time you install one.*  
>  
> *Usually only for sound, chipset, and video drivers. XP does not have to*  
> *reboot as often as any of the older OS's.*  
>

And network. And IE. And Office And antivirus apps. And..

Just to install that POS you generally have to reboot about 5 to 6 times.  
And then you haven't installed a single app

>>*Be prepared to have a very basic system afterwards and a lot less control*  
>>*you are used to. Be prepared to buy a lot of expensive packages to get*  
>>*some basic office work to do. Of course you can use OpenOffice etc, but*  
>>*then you get the same complaints as the OpenOffice used for Linux.*  
>  
> *Agreed, adding functionality to Windows gets expensive very quickly.*  
>  
>>*Playing games – however is a bit better on this system (although most games*  
>>*are a bit expensive).*  
>  
> *A damn bit better, Linux does not have enough games available to even come*  
> *close to competition. My server runs Slackware, I run Suse 9.3 on my*  
> *thinkpad, and I have an exclusive gaming box running XP 64bit.*  
>

You are aware that a lot of games do *\*not\** work with XP64?

>>  
>> *The last time i installed windows i had to restrict myself to windows 98*  
>> *because the hardware in the machine was not up to par for XP. It had*  
>> *on-board sound, a Matrox G200 card etc. It took me about 5 hours to*

alt.os.linux.suse: Re: Suse Linux: first Impression, Too Much Work

>> *install. De problem was with drivers. After a lot searching with google i  
>> found several drivers and several problems coming with them. Freezing the  
>> system, giving psychedelic colours and modes i did not kow ever existed.  
>> And rebooting, and rebooting, and rebooting, and re....  
>> But – to be fair – that was a old windows and system...  
>  
> And you obviously didn't bother to go to the hardware manufacturers web  
> site for the proper drivers, no need for all that googling. Windows can be  
> up and running, with all updates and patches in less than an hour.  
>*

Now I know that you are lying

> *(however – using  
>> Ubuntu the same system was up and running within a hour – including the  
>> office and other packages – and only one reboot...)  
>>  
>> Said that all – windows is not a bad system at all. If it is running it  
>> is a ease to use. Just be prepared to put a lot of effort in protecting  
>> the system, because there are a lot of problems with that.  
>  
> Installing a AV program and Ms Antispyware is hardly hard to do, or  
> configure.  
>*

It just needs to be done

< snip >

> *And I hate it when people don't quite get their facts right. Both OS's  
> have their place. Windows has come a long way in functionality and  
> reliability. I personally prefer Linux in my day to day machines, but I  
> support Windows on my customers machines. I have to keep up with the  
> latest and greatest for both too keep marketable as a consultant.  
>*

That *\*has\** to be the reason you posted this with OE

The worst pile of shit ever written

--

Microsoft's Guide To System Design:

If it starts working, we'll fix it. Pronto.