

Re: Upgrading to 10.1 from 10.0 (IA32)

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/alt.os.linux.suse/2006-10/msg01255.html>

- *From:* houghi <houghi@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 24 Oct 2006 08:53:13 +0200
-

Daniel Packman wrote:

In article <etqv04-7r4.ln1@xxxxxxxxxxxx>, houghi <reply.to.server@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Robert Kopp wrote:

I have a 10.0 installation and am thinking about upgrading to 10.1.

Why? If it ain't broke, don't fix it. I still mainly use 10.0 and it does what it must do.

Upgrades are often driven by lack of patches for a given version. Once patches are no longer available for a particular version, you **must** upgrade. Other reasons to upgrade might include a particular feature, service support, software compatibility, new hardware support, software parity with another platform....etc.

So why from 10.0 to 10.1 specifically. It is not so much for me a question, but for the OP to ask himself.

I really know what the reasons and excuses are to upgrade and more important why NOT to upgrade. Whenever somebody says they want to upgrade a still supported SUSE, I want them to realize WHY they are doing it, so that they do it with a very conscious understanding.

"Curiosity" can be just a valid reason as well. However people must realize what the risks might be. The risk could be a not working system for several hours or days, depending on many, many factors.

So the person needs to realize WHY he is upgrading and then look if that is still worth the time and/or risk. Normaly you would say: if it ain't broke, don't fix it.

houghi

Re: Upgrading to 10.1 from 10.0 (IA32)

—

Theologians can persuade themselves of anything. Anyone who can worship a trinity and insists that his religion is a monotheism can believe anything — just give him time to rationalize it.

Robert A. Heinlein, JOB: A Comedy of Justice

.