

Re: Repository 'openSUSE-10.3-Updates' is invalid

Re: Repository 'openSUSE-10.3-Updates' is invalid

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/alt.os.linux.suse/2008-01/msg00762.html>

- *From:* Kevin Miller <millerboys@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 19 Jan 2008 12:35:32 -0900
-

houghi wrote:

Kevin Miller wrote:

houghi wrote:

Well sure. But folks w/bandwidth to burn don't care so if a big patch is coming done the wire they don't notice much of an inmpact. I, on the other hand, have a bottom end DSL connection shared w/my family. An OOo update combined with youtube just about kills any kind of response rate. On the other hand, it does give one time to go to the kitchen and get a beer. ;-)

So how do you know how large the updates are? Also I can imagine (although I have no idea how to do it) that you can do QOS so it leaves enough bandwith to be able to see your_tube. Downside will be that it will become slower.

Some are very obvious: kernel, OpenOffice.org, stuff like that. I think it also says how big they are in the details window also, but don't have an update pending so can't confirm that at the moment.

Then you would have known that the update was bork

LOL. When one jumps into one of these threads, rarely does one expect it to turn into something rivaling Dostoevsky. Sigh. :-)

Kafka will be so proud of us. :-D

Re: Repository 'openSUSE-10.3-Updates' is invalid

Indeed.

they will be happy. Also if you have a bugreport stating just "It does not work" or "OPENsuse sucks" it will be closed faster than it takes the electrons to send over that message.

Rightfully so – it just makes it a bit trickier to post about something that isn't a bug per se, but just a lousy 'feature' or response rate like slow and redundant repository refresh rates.

What do you lose by reporting the bug?

Fair enough. Since I've already gone through the trouble of signing up it isn't that much of a stretch to open another case.

W00T!. :-D

Sadly, they closed the ticket with a WONTFIX. Not surprised. They noted that I got the download from packman and blamed it on audacity. So back to square one. Maybe my install is just borked. I dunno. Or maybe it's something I installed somewhere along the line. Or maybe it's my hardware or??? Hard to say. As it stands I can't use it and don't have any method/guidance for fixing it. Bummer...

Turns out that both my bugs are now fixed on my system, at least. To fix the timidity problem, I had to add the xorg-x11-fonts package. 30 mb of fonts. Sure glad I'm not on dialup. Takashi Iwai (the developer) had me look for 100dpi fonts. Didn't have any. Apparently they aren't installed by default but that's what his menus use. After I installed them, the menus displayed properly.

I don't know what they'll do to fix the general problem – either add the fonts as a prerequisite to timidity, or recompile with 76dpi fonts or maybe something else. But that's out of my hands. We'll see what they actually do for the fix.

I like the old 5 disk approach to SUSE. I expect they don't install the fonts by default now because of the size/download time. When they're on a local disk that's not an issue. But, of course, disks cost money as does their ISP feed. Much cheaper to have folks downloading just what they need rather than 5 ISOs full of programs that won't get installed.

Re: Repository 'openSUSE-10.3-Updates' is invalid

The other problem, audacity segfaulting was really easy to fix but not to solve: (https://bugzilla.novell.com/show_bug.cgi?id=354349)

As I mentioned, they closed it as a WONTFIX because the package was from packman and appeared to be a problem with audacity. It wasn't. Turns out that simply adding myself to the audio group was all it took. Sigh. I don't know if zypper or yast can check/enforce group membership as a condition of installation (like dependencies) but if it can, it should add the users when the program is installed, or at least mention in the description in yast that membership is needed to run the program.

So all in all, the developers indirectly put me on the right track for fixing timidity although didn't actually provide me with the fix, and haven't yet committed a fix in bugzilla, and weren't able to give me any guidance on the audacity fix. But at least they know about it now and that may help someone else down the line.

Since bugzilla pages don't show up in google, etc. I expect if anyone is helped the likelihood is they'll read it here than there. But maybe in 11.0 the developers won't make the same mistakes. We'll see...

....Kevin

—

Kevin Miller

<http://www.alaska.net/~atftb>

Juneau, Alaska

Registered Linux User No: 307357

.