

Re: Linux needs sprucing if it is to gain a mainstream spot

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/alt.os.linux/2004-04/2333.html>

From: Circuit Breaker (*bagboy6437_at_shipaol.com*)

Date: 04/25/04

Date: Sun, 25 Apr 2004 16:29:59 -0400

Johan wrote:

> *Fools, all of you.*

> *Follow Jesus*

First you sin to call us fools, one name the Bible specifically states is a sin to call anyone, and then you say to follow Jesus?

PLEASE, if you're going to witness, witness. Just not here. If you're going to be an idiot, be an idiot, just NOT HERE! Above ALL else, if you're going to do one, PLEASE don't do both at the same time!

> *and leave Linux behind because after 4 years it's still less*

> *than 2 percent of the desktop and a virtual unknown in mainstream*

> *computing.*

>

> *johan*

4 years? Where you been? I read that it was in 1991 when Linus wrote what became Linux. That was 13 years ago. Give or take a few months. And regardless, it being a virtual unknown in mainstream computing depends on what you consider mainstream computing. Anyone who gives a flying rat's arse about computers or computing in general has heard of Linux and many have explored its possibilities, and the options they have in using it, and have decided either to use it or not based on the undoubtedly biased opinions they have read. Furthermore, the only people I have spoken to recently who have never heard of Linux are those who have never had a computer in pieces on the desk/kitchen-table/coffetable/endtable/workbench/toybox. Of those who have heard of Linux, the only ones who didn't like it at least in SOME way were those who had never used it. Of those people, most of them simply had gotten flat wrong information.

That was the case with my sister's fiancée. He's an I.T. specialist for a major grocery chain's headquarters locally, and had no idea that it was so similar (read: similar, not identical) to the UNIX boxen he works with

