

Re: C-R Systems (Spam Filter)

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/alt.os.linux/2005-01/0392.html>

From: Norman L. DeForest (af380_at_chebucto.ns.ca)

Date: 01/03/05

Date: Mon, 3 Jan 2005 01:00:13 -0400

On Sun, 2 Jan 2005, Sam wrote:

> *Enkidu writes:*

[snip]

> > *SPAMmers do use valid email addresses. I know this because I received*

> > *in excess of 14,000 invalid bounce messages when some SPAMming sod*

> > *used the email address as sender address.*

>

> *Bât/bât but according to Beavis, spammers don't do that. Beavis' own canned*

> *drivel states:*

>

> *# The vast majority of spammers take great pains to include false*

> *# return addresses that are _not_ likely to belong to a real person*

> *# or organization, because this would really anger people and*

> *# inspire them to take serious steps to track down the spammer.*

>

> <http://groups-beta.google.com/group/comp.mail.misc/msg/055945cd62ce3a92?dmode=source>

Does that mean that the five bounces that landed in my inbox so far this year, that had my ISP's support and abuse addresses forged as the envelope senders, were just imaginary?

(Yes, I am the list-owner for the user support list here and I also am one of the ones reading messages to abuse (in an advisory status).)

And, yes, two of the five bounced spams had my ISP's *abuse* address forged.

--

Norman De Forest

af380@chebucto.ns.ca

<http://www.chebucto.ns.ca/~af380/Profile.html>

[=|=]=

(A Speech Friendly Site)

"O'Reilly is to a system administrator as a shoulder length latex glove is to a veterinarian." -- Peter da Silva in the scary devil monastery