

Re: Dyndns.org configuration

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/alt.os.linux/2005-08/0887.html>

From: Netocrat (netocrat_at_dodo.com.au)

Date: 08/24/05

Date: Thu, 25 Aug 2005 04:15:27 +1000

On Wed, 24 Aug 2005 12:38:24 -0500, Ivan Marsh <annoyed@you.now> wrote:

> *On Thu, 25 Aug 2005 02:32:48 +1000, Netocrat wrote:*

>>>>> *On Tue, 23 Aug 2005 01:23:48 +0100, Steve Welsh wrote:*

[...]

>>>>>> *Bind is one of the easiest things I ever set up on my Linux server*

>>>>>> *– just follow the HOWTO :)*

[...]

>>>>>> *Also, considerable improvements in resolution times.*

[...]

>> *It seemed to me that Steve was referring to resolution in general*

>> *(which he has confirmed in a separate post).*

>

> *Not to beat a dead horse but I'm not sure what that means... resolution,*

> *in general, includes the host file.*

By "in general" I meant not limited to any situation under discussion in the thread – i.e. I believe Steve intended his statement to be interpreted something like, "After installing a bind server, my resolution times on average improved considerably" and that he intended that average to include queries regarding any anonymous and public internet host as well as for local hosts.

I don't think he intended to make any comment on the speed of bind relative to the hosts file – it isn't clear from his statement that he even has any hosts in his hosts file.

I don't disagree with anything you've written and I'm not questioning the accuracy of your knowledge but I believe you misinterpreted what Steve wrote.

[...]

--

<http://members.dodo.com.au/~netocrat>