

Re: Good linux distro for development

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/alt.os.linux/2005-11/0124.html>

From: -DG- (-DG-_at_nospam.com)

Date: 11/03/05

Date: Wed, 02 Nov 2005 18:36:36 -0500

On Tue, 01 Nov 2005 21:34:20 GMT, Tris Orendorff
<triso@remove-me.cogeco.ca> wrote:

>Reinhard Gimbel <reinhard@dragonfly7.de> wrote in
>news:dk1v17\$m4e\$1@online.de:

>

>> Another approach is to use a FAT32 partition for data exchange. Most
>> linux users go that way ...

>

>Yet another approach is to use an ext2/3 partition for Linux and read-only
>with a (free) driver while using Windows.

It never occurred to me that NTFS compatibility would be a problem, except for maybe certain distros. No problems dedicating a boot partition, and I do have a couple FAT32 partitions, but if NTFS drivers aren't stable yet maybe I'll wait until they are.

Just curious...why would Linux use FAT32 instead of NTFS? Given the amount of development effort it can't be due to man-hours.

In the past I've had some partition failures that Maxtor techs have blamed on FAT32. Of course it couldn't have been due to Maxtor drives <g>. In any event, I don't trust FAT32 as much.