

Re: Holy Shit Does Linux SUCK!!!

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/comp.os.linux.misc/2005-06/2427.html>

From: B Gruff (*bbgruff_at_yahoo.co.uk*)

Date: 06/23/05

Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2005 23:26:42 +0100

On Wednesday 22 June 2005 21:01 Jim wrote:

> *Jim wrote:*

>> *Tim Smith wrote:*

>>

>>> *In article*

>>> <1119385624.482094.111210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>, *Rommy*

>>> *Watson wrote:*

>>>

>>>> *Opening konqueror and navigating to an mp3 directory of 90,000*

>>>> *mp3's hung*

>>>> *the entire system, not just the first time, but EVERY SINGLE TIME*

>>>> *I went*

>>>> *to that directory. Gee, Windows XP opens it in less than a*

>>>> *second.*

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>> *Windows XP does not handle directories with 90000 files anywhere*

>>> *near that*

>>> *fast. You are lying about this, and, it is likely, about*

>>> *everything in your*

>>> *post.*

>>>

>> *Just tested this on my AMD XP2400+ with 512MB DDR333 and ATA133*

>> *FAT32, folder off root with exactly 90,000 mp3 files of nonzero*

>> *size. Three minutes, eighteen seconds in icon view. Same folder*

>> *with Knoppix 3.8 CD-booted, a smidge (less than ten seconds)*

>> *longer, also in icon view. Given the conclusion in another thread*

>> *that some mechanism in the KDE desktop or underlying code caches*

>> *the entire directory listing prior to displaying (so it scrolls*

>> *large listings a lot smoother), I'm neither surprised nor bothered*

>> *by this slight increase in time-to-display.*

>>

> *Addendum: the listing timing on Windows was done on XPSP1*

Thank you for taking the trouble, Jim.

Does that mean that we can say that the OP was (in Parliamentary

comp.os.linux.misc: Re: Holy Shit Does Linux SUCK!!!

language) ...er... mistaken? – as you originally ...er...
suggested?:-)

Bill