

Re: Is there any point to full host names in /etc/hosts ?

Re: Is there any point to full host names in /etc/hosts ?

Source: <http://linux.derkeiler.com/Newsgroups/comp.os.linux.networking/2007-11/msg00146.html>

- *From:* Send <Send@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 07 Nov 2007 23:00:19 GMT
-

Rikishi 42 wrote:

On 2007-11-05, Send <Send@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Rikishi 42 wrote:

On 2007-11-03, Send <Send@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Rikishi 42 wrote:

This is the situation: a friend and I both use a broadband router with a few machines behind them, at our respective homes. We each picked a name for our 'domain'. His is fictional. Mine also exists on the net, but with only the www and ftp of rikishi42.net defined in the DNS, not my home machines.

stealing – Using some one elses domain name is unethical. Bottom line "IT'S NOT YOURS"

Yes it is. Read the headers...

Are You trolling Read Youyr own post You said & I Quote "Mine also exists on the net"

Exactly. So where is the stealing? It's *mine*.

Re: Is there any point to full host names in /etc/hosts ?

Saying "His is fictional. Mine also exists on the net" implies you borrowed it "also exists on the net" does not infer that you purchased and registered the domain.

192.168.xxx.xxx addresses are private network addresses and usually not forwarded upstream by a router. Can you imagine what would happen if everyone using the same addresses allowed all their network traffic to reach the www. They would be mass collisions Not to mention that your private network is no longer "private".

Be forewarned of the security implications

Are you on medication? Or did you just miss the original post?

What You have trouble reading ... The original is Quoted DUA

We are not putting the 192.168.x.x addresses in any DNS, nor using trough